MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF 1 2 THE MINNEHAHA CREEK WATERSHED DISTRICT 3 **BOARD OF MANAGERS** 4 5 April 10, 2025 6 7 CALL TO ORDER 8 9 The regular meeting of the Minnehaha Creek Watershed District Board of Managers was called to order by President Sherry White at 7:04 p.m. on April 10, 2025, at the MCWD 10 offices, 15320 Minnetonka Boulevard, Minnetonka, Minnesota. 11 12 **MANAGERS PRESENT** 13 14 Sherry White, William Olson, Richard Miller, Jessica Loftus, Arun Hejmadi, Eugene 15 Maxwell, and Steve Sando. 16 17 18 **MANAGERS ABSENT** 19 20 None. 21 22 DISTRICT STAFF AND CONSULTANTS PRESENT 23 James Wisker, Administrator; Veronica Sannes, Permitting Technician; Maggie Menden, 24 25 Permitting Technician; Rachel Baker, Planner-Project Manager; Michael Hayman, Director of Project Planning; Nick Wyers, District Consulting Engineer; and Louis 26 27 Smith, District Counsel. 28 29 **APPROVAL OF AGENDA** 30 President White noted that Item 13 Board Inquiries, Issues and Ideas, and Item 14 Staff 31 Updates would be taken up prior to Item 12.1 Discussion Items. It was moved by 32 Manager Miller, seconded by Manager Olson, to approve the agenda with this change. 33 34 Upon vote, the motion carried 7-0.

36 MATTERS FROM THE FLOOR

37

35

38 None.39

40 CONSENT AGENDA

- 41
- 42 It was moved by Manager Olson, seconded by Manager Hejmadi, to approve the items
- 43 on the Consent Agenda consisting of approval of the March 27, 2025, Board meeting
- 44 *minutes and the following resolutions:*
- 45

46	Resolution 25-022: Authorization to Submit Annual Activity Report to the
40 47	Board of Water and Soil Resources and Department of Natural Resources
48	Dourd of Water and Sou Resources and Department of Matural Resources
49	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the District's Board of
50	Managers accepts the 2024 Annual Activity Report and authorizes its
51	release to BWSR and DNR; and
52	
53	Resolution 25-023: Authorization to Renew GIS and WISKI Maintenance and
54	License Agreements
55	8
56	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Minnehaha Creek
57	Watershed District Board of Managers hereby authorizes the renewal of
58	GIS software maintenance and licenses for an amount of \$16,466.00.
59	
60	AND, BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the Minnehaha Creek
61	Watershed District Board of Managers hereby authorizes the renewal of
62	WISKI software maintenance and licenses for an amount of \$15,379.03.
63	
64	Upon vote, the motion carried, 7-0.
65	
66	BOARD, COMMITTEE AND TASK FORCE REPORTS
67	
68 60	<u>President's Report</u> : President White reported that along with Managers Olson and Sando
69 70	she attended the community information meeting for the County Road 6 Pond Retrofit Project on April 3 at Orono City Hall. The meeting was well attended and provided a
70 71	Project on April 3 at Orono City Hall. The meeting was well attended and provided a helpful information exchange.
72	helpful mormation exchange.
73	Operations and Planning Committee Report: Manager Loftus reported that the
74	Committee met earlier in the evening and received an informative briefing on the
75	MCWD's statewide carp management effectiveness assessment.
76	
77	President White noted the upcoming meeting schedule presented in the agenda.
78	
79	PUBLIC HEARINGS & PRESENTATIONS
80	
81	None.
82	
83	PERMITS REQUIRING A VARIANCE OR DISCUSSION
84	
85	Permit 24-525: 26275 Smithtown Road Project, Shorewood
86	
87	Ms. Veronica Sannes appeared before the Board of Managers to review the permit
88	application. She noted that this permit application is before the Board of Managers due

to a public request received during the public notice period. She noted that the applicants 89 90 have applied for a permit to install an approximately 500-foot long and 12-foot wide driveway across Pebble Creek, a first-order stream, and to install water, sanitary sewer, 91 phone, cable, gas, and electric utility crossings beneath the stream at 26275 Smithtown 92 93 Road in the City of Shorewood. The MCWD's Erosion Control, Waterbody Crossings and Structures, and Floodplain Alteration rules apply to the Project. The Stormwater 94 Management rule is not applicable for this project as the proposed work is single-family 95 96 residential construction on an existing lot of record creating less than an acre of hard surface. If future house construction, together with the hard surface presently constructed, 97 should exceed one acre, the rule would apply to the total amount of hard surface. 98 99 MCWD staff and the District engineer have reviewed the project and concluded that it meets the applicable MCWD rules and recommend approval with the conditions noted in 100

- 101 the permit report.
- 102

103 President White invited comments from the public. No one came forward to offer

104 comments. It was moved by Manager Miller, seconded by Manager Maxwell, to

approve Permit 24-525 with the conditions recommended by staff. In response to a

106 question from Manager Loftus, Ms. Sannes described the city setback requirements for

107 any structures constructed on the site. In response to a question from Manager Maxwell,

108 Ms. Sannes noted that the MCWD engineer has reviewed the application, and it will be

109 reviewed by the city building permit process. *There being no further discussion, upon*

110 *vote the carried, 7-0.*

111

112 Permit 25-056: 9501 Lakewood Circle, Laketown Township – Exception Request

113

Ms. Maggie Menden appeared before the Board of Managers to review the permit 114 115 application. She noted that this permit is being presented to the Board of Managers for consideration because an Exception is sought, and at the request of a member of the 116 public. Ms. Menden stated that the proposed project is subject to MCWD's rules for 117 Erosion Control, Wetland Protection, and Stormwater Management. The Erosion 118 Control Rule applies due to land disturbance area greater than 5,000 square feet. The 119 Stormwater Management Rule is applicable due to the increase of impervious surface in 120 121 the aggregate amount of the entire development. The Wetland Protection rule, specifically the requirement to establish and maintain vegetated wetland buffer, applies 122 due to the construction of a new residential structure upgradient from the lower wetland 123 (Wetland 2). Staff and the District Engineer have reviewed and found the application to 124 125 be compliant with the Erosion Control and Wetland Protection Rules. 126 The applicant is proposing to meet the Stormwater Management Rule via an Exception 127 128 pursuant to the MCWD's Variances and Exceptions rule. The applicant proposes an

129 alternative approach that would achieve a greater degree of volume control and water

130 quality treatment than would strict compliance with the volume control standard. An

131 earlier phase of work under permit 23-272 involved construction of three infiltration

basins and one filtration basin. Rather than seek to locate new filtration practices to

133 capture runoff from the new hard surface, the applicant requests recognition for the water

- 134 quality treatment of 5.87 acres of row cropped land provided by the infiltration and
- 135 filtration basins constructed earlier. Ms. Menden said that because the two phases
- 136constitute a Common Plan of Development, MCWD staff and the MCWD Engineer are
- 137 comfortable to recognize the treatment of cropped land stormwater runoff provided under
- 138 Phase 1 in determining whether stormwater requirements for the two phases combined
- are met. Therefore, staff and the District Engineer recommend that the Exception requestbe approved.
- 141

142 Ms. Menden reviewed the comments of concern about the project that were received,

- 143 including tree protection, endangered species, indigenous burial mounds, and lakeshore
- setbacks, and staff responses as noted in the permit report. President White invited
- 145 comments. Mr. Mike Klingelhutz appeared before the Board of Managers and stated that
- 146 he knew the property well and said that he opposed granting the Exception. He noted the
- 147 area topography and said that there should be a stormwater pond near the lake, rather than
- rely on the upland basins. He expressed concern that the water from the swimming pool
- 149 would be discharged into the swales and enter the lake. President White thanked Mr.
- 150 Klingelhutz for his comments.
- 151

152 It was moved by Manager Loftus, seconded by Manager Hejmadi to approve the

Exception request for Permit 25-056. In response to a question from Manager Maxwell, 153 Ms. Menden and Mr. Wisker acknowledged that Basin 3 does not drain to Pierson Lake 154 155 but drains to a low spot and eventually to Reitz Lake, but the basins altogether provide 14.1 pounds per year of phosphorus removal, far in excess of the 1.73 pounds required. 156 President White asked about Mr. Klingelhutz's suggestion that a stormwater pond be 157 158 located near the lake. Ms. Menden noted that the MCWD does not dictate the location of stormwater facilities and that the applicant found the soils in that area not suitable for 159 infiltration. In response to a further question from President White, Ms. Menden noted 160 that the wetland area disturbed during construction would be restored. Mr. Wisker noted 161 that in response to Mr. Klingelhutz's concern with the discharge of water from the 162 swimming pool, the MCWD does not regulate this issue, but the MPCA recommends that 163 164 swimming pools not be chlorinated for a period of three or four days and then the water

- 164 swimming pools not be emormated for a
 - 166
 - 167 Manager Loftus asked whether it would be possible to memorialize and record this
 - 168 phased development where phase two is relying on stormwater treatment capacity from
 - 169 phase one. Ms. Menden and Mr. Wisker stated that the allocation of treatment capacity
 - 170 can be memorialized in the maintenance declaration for the stormwater facilities and
 - 171 recorded, and that this could be an additional condition of approval of the permit. *Upon*
 - 172 vote, the motion to grant the Exception carried, 7-0. It was moved by Manager Miller,
 - seconded by Manager Hejmadi, to approve the permit application with the conditions
 - 174 recommended by staff in the permit report, and further that the stormwater

175 maintenance declaration include a record of the treatment capacity allocated to this 176 permit. Upon vote, the motion carried 7-0. 177 Permit 25-117: East Auburn Wetland Restoration 178 179 Ms. Rachel Baker and Ms. Maggie Menden appeared before the Board of Managers to 180 review this project and the permit application. Ms. Baker explained that this is the 181 MCWD's East Auburn Wetland Restoration Project and noted the presence of Jeff 182 Madejczyk of Moore Engineering who has worked on the design of the Project. She 183 noted that MCWD convened a community meeting about the Project on March 25 with 184 185 fifteen residents in attendance. 186 187 Ms. Menden reviewed the application of the MCWD's Floodplain Alteration, Waterbody Crossings and Structures, and Wetland Protection Rules to the Project. She noted that the 188 189 proposed project fully complies with the District's Floodplain Alteration, and Wetland Protection Rules. The project meets the requirements of the Waterbody Crossings & 190 Structures rule, except that it will increase flood stage above and below the weir, contrary 191 to Section 3(b). A waiver of this standard is requested pursuant to Section 4(a), to allow 192 193 for a slight increase in the flood stage of Cells 1 and 2 in order to reduce nutrient export and improve ecological function. MCWD staff find that the criteria for the waiver are 194 195 met, and staff and the District Engineer recommend that the Board approve the permit 196 application. 197 It was moved by Manager Olson, seconded by Manager Sando to approve the permit 198 application with the conditions recommended by staff and set forth in the permit 199 *report.* In response to a question from Manager Olson, Ms. Baker described the quality 200 201 of vegetation in the wetland as "mixed" and that it does not require active vegetation management at this time. Manager Loftus expressed her appreciation for the process the 202 MCWD has followed for the Project. In response to a question from Manager Olson, Mr. 203 Smith noted that it is not unusual for a government agency to issue itself a permit for its 204 205 own project, and it reflects a commitment to apply the rules equally. There being no

- 206 *further discussion, upon vote the motion carried 7-0.*
- 207

208 ACTION ITEMS

- 209
- <u>Resolution 25-024</u>: Approval of 90% Design Plans for the East Auburn Wetland
 Restoration Project and Authorization to Solicit Bids
- 212
- Ms. Baker reviewed the 90% design for the Project and noted the estimated costs for the
- 214 Weir (\$336,855, plus \$33,000 for construction engineering) and Boardwalk (\$227,830,
- plus \$113,000 for construction engineering) for a total estimated cost of \$610,685. She
- noted the outline of terms of a cooperative agreement with the City of Victoria and
- 217 reviewed next steps for bid solicitation, contract award, and construction. *It was moved*

218	by Manager Olson, seconded by Manager Hejmadi, to adopt Resolution 25-024:
219	Approval of 90% Design Plans for the East Auburn Wetland Restoration Project and
220	Authorization to Solicit Bids as follows:
221	
222	NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED that the Minnehaha Creek
223	Watershed District Board of Managers approves the 90 percent design for the
224	East Auburn Wetland Restoration Project and directs the engineer to prepare
225	conforming final plans for the purpose of soliciting bids;
226	
227	BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED that the MCWD Board of Managers authorizes
228	the District Administrator, on advice of counsel, with the condition that City
229	Agreement be signed prior to bid solicitation, to solicit bids for construction
230	during the appropriate bid period in order to contract and complete
231	construction of the Project during the winter of 2025-2026.
232	
233	Manager Olson expressed his appreciation for staff work on this project. Mr. Jeff
234	Madejczyk commented that in response to the earlier question about vegetation
235	management, once construction is completed, the permanent pool should foster more
236	native vegetation. He noted that as to the permit for the Project, throughout this process,
237	MCWD staff has pressed the engineers to hold the District to a higher standard than
238	typical applicants. There being no further discussion, upon vote the motion carried 7-0.
239	
240	<u>STAFF UPDATES</u>
241	
242	Administrator's Report
243	
244	Mr. Wisker reported on the following items:
245	
246	1. The MCWD received a letter from the attorney for JTS, the contractor for the
247	Minnehaha Preserve Boardwalk; this letter provided a response to the change
248	order requirements presented to JTS five weeks ago; the draft implementation
249	presented by JTS is incomplete; JTS is requesting all outstanding payments
250	and additional payment to complete the outstanding and defective work; Mr.
251	Wisker is working with legal counsel on review and response, which will
252	include focus on completion of the implementation plan;
253	
254	2. Outreach staff are working to plan a field trip for the Board of Managers and
255	Citizen Advisory Committee; it will be sometime in May and in Minneapolis;
256	
257	3. MCWD received notice through Minnesota Watersheds that Attorney General
258	Ellison is forming an advisory task force on the future of Minnesota's water;
259	

- 4. As noted at the last meeting, the Minnesota Climate Adaptation Partnership notified MCWD and that it, along with Hennepin County Emergency
 Management, has been awarded the 2025 Climate Adaptation Award. The award ceremony will be on May 8 at the Bell Museum.
- 264

BOARD INQUIRIES, ISSUES, AND IDEAS 266

- Manager Miller asked that staff explore an update of the "story board" displayed at the Edina mill pond area, perhaps in cooperation with the City of Edina and of the quality of educational signage at Wassermann Park.
- 270
- 271 President White asked how many interest letters have been received for the Land &
- 272 Watershed Partnership program.
- 273

274 BOARD DISCUSSION ITEMS

275

277

- 276 <u>325 Blake Road Restoration and Redevelopment</u>
- 278 Mr. Michael Hayman appeared before the Board of Managers and requested that the
- Board go into closed session for staff to provide an update on redevelopment and the
- 280 MCWD project at 325 Blake Road in Hopkins. *Pursuant to legal counsel's*
- recommendation, it was moved by Manager Olson, seconded by Manager Olson for the
- Board to go into a closed session for the purpose of discussing the potential expiration
- or revision of terms of the Purchase and Sale Agreement of 325 Blake Road in
- 284 Hopkins. Upon vote, the motion carried 7-0.
- 285

287

- 286 The Board of Managers went into closed session.
- 288 The Board of Managers returned to open session.
- 289 290 <u>Adjournment</u>
- 291
- 292 There being no further business, President White declared the meeting of the Board of
- 293 Managers adjourned at 9:27 p.m.
- 294
- 295 Respectfully submitted,
- 296
- 297
- 298
- 299 Eugene Maxwell, Secretary