Memorandum

To: Craig Leiser, MAWD Board Treasurer and Budget Committee Chair

Barb Haake, MAWD Board and By-Laws Committee Chair

From: Margaret Johnson and Mark Doneux, Finance Subcommittee

Date: March 24, 2017

Re: Recommended Dues Structure for 2018 and Beyond

Recommendations:

1) Implement the Membership approved Succession/Strategic Plan

- a. Hire an Executive Director, and contract staff according to the plan
- b. Adopt a budget and generate revenue to implement the plan
- 2) Amend MAWD By-Laws to remove the dues structure and provide that the membership dues be annually set by the Board of Directors
 - a. Budget and dues set by the Board of Directors provides the flexibility to MAWD to adopt and provide stable funding to organization
 - b. Dues based on MAWD budget developed by Executive Director
 - c. Board of Directors, representing the Membership, sets budget and dues.
 - d. Memorialize dues structure in Manual of Policy and Procedures.
- 3) 2018 dues, set by the Board of Directors, should be based on the formula currently in the existing By-Laws using the 0.005 multiplier and increase the cap on the maximum dues to \$12,500.

Discussion

1) Implement the Membership approved Succession/Strategic Plan

(See attached MAWD Board of Directors Succession Plan, October 19, 2016)

a. Hire an Executive Director, and contract staff per the plan

Transition Media and Government Affairs' present duties into an Executive Director as of January 1, 2018.

b. Adopt a budget and generate revenue to implement the plan

2018 Budget would be developed by the Executive Director and MAWD Board of Directors for presentation for approval at the 2017 Annual Meeting. 2019 Budgets and beyond should be developed by the Executive Director. Membership dues are one of the primary source of funding. Cumulative 2018 MAWD dues will increase to the range of \$202,000 to \$247,000 (2017 dues totaled \$118,600). The Executive Director should recommend a budget and dues required in addition to other revenues to implement 2018 transition plan. Any dues increases would start in the 2018 fiscal year budget.

2) <u>Amend MAWD By-Laws to remove the dues structure and provide that the membership</u> dues be annually set by the Board of Directors

a. <u>Budget and dues set by the Board of Directors provides the flexibility for MAWD to adopt and provide stable funding to the organization</u>

MAWD Dues have historically been set by the Membership in the By-Laws. The current Dues section in the By-Laws reads:

"6.2) Dues, Regular Members. Commencing January 1, 2010, dues structure shall be revised as follows: A District's dues shall be based upon the total market value of real estate multiplied by .00048 to determine the maximum levy. Then multiply the maximum District levy amount by up to .005 to determine MAWD dues - not to exceed \$4,000."

There is a considerable effort underway in early 2017 to just get the membership to consider changes to the Bylaws. This current process of setting dues into the Bylaws is inefficient and out of step with similar organizations. MASWCD and AMC dues are set by their respective Board of Directors. If the dues structure were to remain in the Bylaws MAWD would have to project budgets and revenue into the future from dues or again go through the arduous process to amend the Bylaws. MAWD is about to under considerable change in the next few years, greater flexibility will be required to weather and adapt to these changes.

The current, as of March 15, 2017, draft Dues section being proposed by the By-Laws Committee removes the dues structure from the By-Laws and reads:

"6.3) Annual Dues. Annual dues shall be payable in advance during the month of January of each year. If a member's dues are not paid on or before April 30 of each year, such member's name may be stricken from the membership roll. Reinstatement shall be upon such terms and conditions as prescribed by the Board of Directors.

The Board of Directors shall have the authority to suspend or defer dues of any newly organized watershed district that joins this association until such member watershed district is in actual receipt of its first authorized fund. The Board shall send out the Annual dues statement with payment directed to the Authorized District Accounting firm. The Board of Directors may consider deferring, suspending, or reducing dues on an individual case basis when an appeal is made by a Watershed District because of hardship or funding problems."

This and other changes will be discussed at the Legislative Breakfast in March and voted upon by the membership in June at the Summer Tour.

b. <u>Dues based on MAWD budget developed by Executive Director</u>

With an Executive Director coming on board, drafting a budget for the Board of Directors, identifying new revenue and ultimately recommending dues, for Board approval, would be the role and responsibility of this person.

c. <u>Board of Directors, representing the Membership, sets budget and dues.</u>

If the MAWD Board of Directors set the annual dues they may very well use the current or a better formula to set dues. By not codifying the dues into the Bylaws the Board of Directors would have greater flexibility to come up with an approach that provides certainty to MAWD of supporting its mission through dues. It would also allow the MAWD Board of Directors more readily adapt a new dues structure that could better reflect the diversity in size and capacity of watershed districts in Minnesota.

The MAWD Board currently sets all other revenue items in the annual budget, i.e. what to charge for the annual meeting, vendor charges for booth space, training fees, etc. It makes sense that the MAWD Board also be charged with this revenue item as well. MAWD is about to under considerable change in the next few years, greater flexibility may be required to manage and adapt to these changes.

d. <u>Memorialize dues structure in Manual of Policy and Procedures.</u>

If the Membership approves of removing the dues structure from the Bylaws, the Board of Directors should establish a policy for setting dues and place that policy within a the Manual of Policy and Procedures. As part of the development of new Bylaws, the Bylaws Committee has drafted a Manual of Policy and Procedures for consideration by the MAWD Board of Directors.

3) <u>2018 dues, set by the Board of Directors, should be based on the formula currently in the existing By-Laws using the 0.005 multiplier and increase the cap on the maximum dues to \$12,500.</u>

a) Analysis and Recommended 2018 Dues Structure and Rates

To recommend a 2018 dues structure we evaluated several options but after our analysis, we recommend that the MAWD Board of Directors keep the same basic formula for 2018 with some adjustments. This approach is familiar to districts today, it is a minimal change although removed from the By-Laws and many smaller districts would see little change while shifting much of the increase to larger, better funded districts. Another strong reason to use the same basic formula that starts with Taxable Market Value (TMV) is that each June BWSR distributes a list of all watershed districts and the TMV (see attached BSWR 2016 TMV Letter). This objective, third party report can continue to be a consistent guide for both MAWD and individual watershed districts to estimate dues. TMV are also consistent year to year while other methods such as annual budgets and levies, as a basis for dues, can vary significantly year to year creating uncertainty for both MAWD and local districts on dues revenue or dues as an expense.

Again, the current dues formula in the By-Laws states:

"A District's dues shall be based upon the total market value of real estate multiplied by .00048 to determine the maximum levy. Then multiply the maximum District levy amount by up to .005 to determine MAWD dues - not to exceed \$4,000."

This approach yielded approximately \$118,000 in dues for 2016 which was about 54% of the total MAWD revenue of \$218,000. Early 2018 Budget Projections to implement the MAWD Transition Plan call for dues at an amount of \$202,000-\$247,000 depending on the final staffing/consultant complement

and level of service. It is important to note in this analysis that while the By-Laws currently use a <u>0.005</u> multiplier on the Maximum Levy amount, <u>actual MAWD dues statements use 0.003 instead</u>. We are not sure why the 0,003 multiplier is used but we are recommending us the 0.005 multiplier with a cap of \$12,500 to achieve the projected 2018 dues.

The attached MAWD Dues analysis provides a full detail of all the options and the resultant dues for each District. The table below summarizes the options and provides the dues revenue totals for each option. It is important to note that actual dues revenues have been approximately 90% of what they should be for a variety of reasons. Some Districts have paid late while have not paid dues. Option 4d shown below meets the \$202,000-\$247,000 dues target for MAWD in 2018.

1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	8	9
		2016 Max.	Col 1x0.00x	Col 1x0.00x	Col 1x0.00x	Col 1x0.00x	Col 1x0.00x		
(TMV x .00048)	2016 Dues	Dues Amt.	OPTION	OPTION	OPTION	OPTION	OPTION	OPTION	OPTION
Max.	Max Levy	Amt. (x.00x)	4A	4B	4C	4D	4E	5	6
Levy		(4,000 max)	(\$5,000 Max)	(\$7,500 Max)	(\$10,000 Max)	(\$12,500 Max)	(\$15,000 Max)	(by levy groups)	(by levy groups)
Total Dues	\$559,366	\$145,284	\$168,180	\$218,251	\$255,051	\$287,551	\$317,612	\$143,500	\$151,000
Actual - Est to be 90% of Total		\$130,755	\$151,362	\$196,426	\$229,546	\$258,796	\$285,851	\$129,150	\$135,900

Another way to look at it would be to consider that MAWD is projecting a 2018 dues of \$225,000 for example. With 45 watershed districts the average dues would be \$5,000. So, it seems to reason that ½ of the Districts would pay more than \$5,000 and ½ would pay less than \$5,000. So it goes to reason that the if the average dues is \$5,000 then the low end of the range would be less than \$1,000 and the upper end of the range would be around \$10,000.

b) MAWD Board of Directors appoint a standing committee of MAWD Board Directors and Administrators to evaluate and recommend a dues structure for 2019 and beyond.

By not codifying the dues into the Bylaws the Board of Directors would have greater flexibility to come up with an approach that provides certainty to MAWD of supporting its mission through dues. It would also allow the MAWD Board to more readily adapt a new dues structure that could better reflect the diversity in size and capacity of watershed districts in Minnesota.

The current "% of Taxable Market Value with a cap approach" may work in the short term because it is familiar to the membership. There is concern that smaller districts pay a higher percentage of their budget or levy towards dues than larger districts. Because of the dramatic differences in District across the state, any fiscally based dues (taxable market value, budget or levy) system will have some disparity either on the high end or the low end.

MAWD Board of Directors should appoint a standing committee of Manages and Administrators to evaluate and recommend a dues structure for 2019 and beyond

enc: MAWD Board of Directors Succession Plan, October 19, 2016 BSWR 2016 TMV Letter MAWD Dues Analysis

W:\08 Orgs-Cities-Agencies\MAWD\Strategic Planning 2015\Dues Analysis\Leiser Haake Dues Memo 3-24-17.docx



Minnesota Association of Watershed Districts

540 Diffley Road St. Paul, MN 55123 Phone: 651-452-8506 Fax: 651-686-8679 www.mnwatershed.org E-mail: raybohnmga@aol.com

MAWD Board of Directors Succession Plan October 19, 2019

The MAWD BOD Personnel Succession Plan was developed by MAWD in response to:

- The stated goal of Ray and Peg Bohn of Media & Government Affairs, who are part-time contract agents, is to retire. Ray Bohn, by Jan 1, 2018 from all administrative functions, and Jan 1, 2019 from lobbying; Peg Bohn by Jan 1, 2017 from all but basic administrative tasks until the administrative transition is complete.
- The data collected through the training survey conducted by Cliff Aichinger and the survey conducted by the MAWD Strategic Planning Committee indicate an absolute need for full time activities to deliver the desired services. Both were done at the request of the MAWD Board.

In summary, this plan would:

- A. Transition MGA's present duties into an Executive Director and associated positions over the next year including, a potential Program Manager and Lobbyist as of Jan. 1, 2018.
 - The Executive Director would be a full time position effective Jan. 1, 2018.
 - o The Program Manager would be a part-time contract position effective Jan. 1, 2017.
 - The Lobbyist would remain a contracted position in 2017-18.
 - Administrative services under contract beginning Jan. 1, 2018
- B. 2017 Transition Schedule:

Contract for Program Manager services effective Jan. 1, 2017 to manage MAWD events/activities in 2017:

- Legislative Breakfast, March 2017
- o Summer Tour, June 2017
- o Annual Meeting & Trade Show, Dec. 2017
- Pre-Conference Workshops, Dec. 2017
- Web Page & Social Media updates
- Any other training events or seminars sponsored solely by MAWD

Contract with Media & Gov't Affairs for 2017 lobbying services and other non-program administrative services (answer phones, keep data base up to date, taking minutes at BOD meetings & minutes, attend various MAWD meetings, respond to public inquiries, assist & supervise Program Manager, act as MAWD spoke person, etc.)

C. 2018 Transition Schedule

- Hire a full time Executive Director by Jan. 1, 2018
- Retain or hire a part-time or contract with a Program Manager, Jan 1, 2018
- Retain a contract lobbyist Jan 1, 2018
- Contract for basic administrative services
- Establish a MAWD office either co-located with a Metro-based watershed district (St Paul based) or an office suite.

This plan would be funded as follows:

- Any 2017 budget increase or spending will come from MAWD reserve funds.
- 2018 Budget would be developed by the MAWD Board of Directors for presentation for approval at the 2017 Annual Meeting.
- 2019 Budgets and beyond would be developed by the MAWD Budget Committee Board of Directors and the new Executive Director.
- Establish MAWD Associate and/or Affiliate memberships. These memberships would be non-voting and would be open to water management stakeholders and watershed district service providers (engineering firms, construction companies, etc.). The MAWD Budget Committee will recommend associate and affiliate member dues and the BOD will approve the dues for these members.
- Apply for training grants. The MAWD surveys and analyses on our training needs identified important areas for increased training for managers and staff as a significant need. Retaining help to begin applying for training grants will be an important element in helping to defray the cost of implementation of our increased training program.
- Membership dues are the primary source of funding along with associate membership dues and training grants (see attached spreadsheet). Cumulative2018 MAWD dues will increase to the range of \$202,000 \$247,000 (2017 dues totaled \$118,600). MAWD BOD will determine maximum dues required in addition to other revenues to implement 2018 transition and beyond plan. Because of the need to move forward on the transition plan from early in the 2017 budget year, proposed increases will be presented to members for approval at a special membership meeting at the 2017 Summer Tour.
- Any dues increases would start in the 2018 fiscal year budget.

Once the plan is accepted by the membership, the MAWD BOD will forward to the MAWD Bylaws Committee to update the MAWD Bylaws at the 2017 Annual Meeting to reflect these changes. Additionally a manual would be compiled of all existing policies and procedures. This will be reviewed and approved by the MAWD BOD once complete.

The complete proposal along with draft documents can be found on the MAWD website under MAWD Strategic Planning Committee and MAWD Board of Directors Succession Plan at http://www.mnwatershed.org/



MEMORANDUM

July 1, 2016

TO: Watershed Districts

FR: Travis Germundson, Water Management Specialist

PH: (651) 297-4958

RE: 2016 Taxable Market Values

Please find attached a table containing the recently released total real and personal taxable market values (TMV) for 2016 from the Department of Revenue. The 2016 abstract of tax lists was used as the basis for calculating the table.

In order to determine the annual maximum General Fund levy for a watershed district, the TMV listed in the table must be multiplied by 0.048 percent (0.00048) and then compared to the maximum General Fund levy limit of \$250,000. Use whichever value is less. See Minn. Stat. § 103D.905, Subd. 3 for reference.

Please contact me if you have any questions.

Attachment

cc: Ray Bohn, MAWD Ron Harnack, RRWMB

BWSR: John Jaschke, Doug Thomas, Bill Eisele, Regional Managers and Board Conservationist

Detroit Lakes

Rochester

	SOURCE: 2016 ABSTRACT OF TAX LIS	
CODE	WATERSHED DISTRICT	TOTAL TMV
001	BEAR VALLEY WATERSHED	205,893,
002	CEDAR RIVER WATERSHED	2,749,317,
003	BELLE CREEK WATERSHED	366,594,
005	BUFFALO CREEK WATERSHED	2,458,100,
007	BUFFALO-RED RIVER WTRSHED	8,285,233,
800	NO FORK-CROW RIVER WTRSHD	1,299,349,
009	CLEARWATER RIVER WTRSHED	1,331,173,
010	CARNELIAN-MARINE WTRSHED	1,488,800,
013	COON CREEK WATERSHED DIST	12,132,421,
014	SOUTH WASHINGTON WATERSHD	9,996,806,
015	CORMORANT WATERSHED	496,661,
016	CROOKED CREEK WATERSHED	300,118,
018	HIGH ISLAND WATERSHED	1,257,986,
020	JOE RIVER WATERSHED DIST	223,419,
021	KANARANZI-LITTLE ROCK WS	1,589,620,
022	LAC QUI PARLE-YELLOW BANK	3,171,737,
024	HERON LAKE WATERSHED DIST	2,439,005,
026	MIDDLE RVR-SNAKE RVR WS	3,090,122,
028	OKABENA-OCHEDA WATERSHED	769,392,
030	PELICAN WATERSHED	1,796,598,
031	BOIS DE SIOUX WATERSHED	5,233,478,
032	PRIOR LAKE-SPRING LAKE WS	3,434,246,
034	RAMSEY-WASHINGTON METRO	12,795,915,
036	RED LAKE WATERSHED DIST	7,625,491,
038	RICE CREEK WATERSHED DIST	17,898,773,
040	ROSEAU RIVER WATERSHED	629,822,
042	SANDHILL WATERSHED DIST	1,035,609,
043	SAUK RIVER WATERSHED	7,378,292,
044	STOCKTON-ROLLINGSTONE WS	457,888,
048	TURTLE CREEK WATERSHED	1,113,702,
050	TWO RIVERS WATERSHED DIST	1,355,684,
052	UPPER MN RIVER WATERSHED	1,393,569,
054	VALLEY BRANCH WATERSHED	4,007,449,
056	WARROAD WATERSHED DIST	281,770,0
058	NINE MILE CREEK WATERSHED	17,454,792,0
060	LOWER MN RIVER WATERSHED	8,324,072,0
062	MINNEHAHA CREEK WATERSHED	43,847,830,4
064	RILEY-PURGATORY WATERSHED	12,785,997,
066	WILD RICE WATERSHED DIST	3,678,197,8
068	YELLOW MEDICINE RIVER	2,834,880,4
069	BROWNS CREEK WATERSHD DST	1,628,396,3
070	CAPITOL REGION WATERSHED	17,205,420,4
071	COMFORT LAKE WATERSHED	1,679,944,6
073	SHELL ROCK WATERSHED	1,896,878,1
074	MIDDLE FORK-CROW RIVER WS	1,642,817,1

MAWD Dues Options Worksheet

١.,		DA	
	DI.	RA	

2 10 2016 Max. Col 2x0.005 Col 2x0.005 Col 2x0.005 Col 2x0.005 Col 2x0.005 (TMV x .00048) 2016 Dues **OPTION OPTION** SOURCE: 2016 ABSTRACT OF TAX LISTS Dues Amt. **OPTION OPTION OPTION** OPTION **TAXES PAYABLE 2016** Max Levv Amt. (x.005) 4A 4B 4C 4D 4E Max TAXABLE MARKET VALUE (4,000 max) (\$5,000 Max) (\$7,500 Max) (\$10,000 Max) (\$12,500 Max) (\$15,000 Max) x 0.005 Levy (by levy groups) (by levy groups) BEAR VALLEY WATERSHED 205.893.900 98.829 494 494 500 494 494 494 49 49 100 JOE RIVER WATERSHED DIST 536 536 223,419,400 107,24 536 500 100 WARROAD WATERSHED DIST 281,770,000 135,250 676 676 676 676 676 676 676 500 1000 CROOKED CREEK WATERSHED 300,118,300 144,057 720 720 720 720 720 720 720 500 1000 003 BELLE CREEK WATERSHED 880 880 880 880 880 880 88 500 1000 366,594,200 175,965 1,099 1.099 1.099 1.099 1.099 1.09 044 STOCKTON-ROLLINGSTONE WS 457,888,900 219.787 1.099 1000 2000 015 CORMORANT WATERSHED 496.661.500 238,398 1.192 1.192 1,192 1.192 1.192 1.192 1.19 1000 2000 ROSEAU RIVER WATERSHED 1,512 1,512 1,512 1,51 1,51 1000 629,822,826 302,315 1,512 2000 OKABENA-OCHEDA WATERSHED 769.392.980 369.309 1.847 1.847 1.847 1.847 1.847 1.847 1.84 1000 2000 2,485 2,485 2,48 2,48 2,48 2,48 042 SANDHILL WATERSHED DIST 1,035,609,821 497,093 2,485 1000 200 TURTLE CREEK WATERSHED 534.577 2.673 2.673 2.673 2.67 2.673 2.67 2.67 048 1,113,702,100 2000 2000 018 HIGH ISLAND WATERSHED 1,257,986,379 603.833 3,019 3.019 3.019 3.01 3.019 3.019 3.01 2000 2000 800 NO FORK-CROW RIVER WTRSHD 1,299,349,706 623,688 3,118 3,118 3,118 3,118 3,118 3,118 3,11 2000 2000 CLEARWATER RIVER WTRSHED 1,331,173,721 638,963 3,195 3,195 3,195 3,19 3,195 3,195 3.19 2000 2000 TWO RIVERS WATERSHED DIST 1,355,684,180 650,728 3,254 3,254 3,254 3,25 3,254 3,254 3,25 2000 2000 052 UPPER MN RIVER WATERSHED 1,393,569,372 668,913 3,345 3 345 3 345 3,345 3,345 3,345 3 34 2000 2000 2000 010 CARNELIAN-MARINE WTRSHED 1.488.800.000 714.624 3.573 3,573 3.57 3.573 3.57 3.57 2000 021 KANARANZI-LITTLE ROCK WS 1.589.620.923 763.018 3,815 3,815 3,81 3,81 3,81 2000 3,815 3,81 200 BROWNS CREEK WATERSHD DST 1.628.396.100 3.908 3.90 781.630 3.908 3.908 3.90 3.908 3.90 2000 2000 074 MIDDLE FORK-CROW RIVER WS 3,943 3,943 3,943 3,94 3,94 3,94 1,642,817,176 788,552 3,94 2000 200 071 COMFORT LAKE WATERSHED 1.679.944.600 806.373 4.032 4.000 4.032 4.03 4.032 4.03 4.03 2000 200 030 PELICAN WATERSHED 862,367 4,000 4.312 4.31 4 31 4.31 4.31 200 1,796,598,200 4,312 2000 073 SHELL ROCK WATERSHED 1.896.878.100 910.501 4.553 4.000 4.553 4.55 4.55 4.55 4.55 2000 2000 5,000 HERON LAKE WATERSHED DIST 5.854 5.85 2,439,005,423 1,170,723 5,854 4,000 5.85 5,85 3000 3000 005 BUFFALO CREEK WATERSHED 2,458,100,125 1,179,888 5,899 4,000 5,000 5,89 5,899 5,89 5,89 3000 3000 4.000 6.598 CEDAR RIVER WATERSHED 2,749,317,469 1,319,672 6.598 5.000 6.59 6.59 6.59 3000 3000 YELLOW MEDICINE RIVER 5,000 6,804 068 2,834,880,432 1,360,743 6,804 4,000 6,804 6,804 6,80 3000 3000 MIDDLE SNAKE TAMARAK RVR WS 3.090.122.268 1,483,259 7,416 4.000 5.000 7,416 7,416 7.416 7,41 3000 3000 026 022 LAC QUI PARLE-YELLOW BANK 3,171,737,704 1,522,434 7,612 4,000 5,000 7,50 7,612 7,612 7,61 3000 3000 032 PRIOR LAKE-SPRING LAKE WS 3,434,246,700 1,648,438 8,242 4,000 5,000 8,242 8,24 8,24 3000 300 066 WILD RICE WATERSHED DIST 3,678,197,865 1,765,535 8,828 4,000 5,000 7,50 8,828 8,82 8,82 3000 3000 VALLEY BRANCH WATERSHED 4,007,449,500 4,000 5,000 7,50 9,618 9,61 054 1,923,576 9,618 9,61 3000 3000 BOIS DE SIOUX WATERSHED 2,512,070 4.000 5.000 7.50 10.000 12.50 12.56 031 5,233,478,283 12,560 3000 3000 SAUK RIVER WATERSHED 7,378,292,974 3,541,581 17,708 4.000 5.000 7.50 10.000 12.500 15.00 5000 5000 043 RED LAKE WATERSHED DIST 7,625,491,120 3,660,236 4,000 5,000 7,50 12,50 15,00 18.30 10.00 5000 5000 BUFFALO-RED RIVER WTRSHED 8.285.233.086 3.976.912 19.885 4.000 5.000 7.500 10.000 12.50 15.00 5000 5000 LOWER MN RIVER WATERSHED 4,000 5,000 15,00 060 8,324,072,645 3,995,555 19,978 7,50 10,000 12,50 5000 5000 SOUTH WASHINGTON WATERSHD 4,798,467 23,992 4,000 5,000 15.00 014 9,996,806,300 7,500 10,000 12,50 5000 5000 013 COON CREEK WATERSHED DIST 12,132,421,066 5,823,562 29,118 4,000 5,000 10,000 12,50 15,00 5000 5000 7,50 RILEY-PURGATORY WATERSHED 12,785,997,733 6,137,279 30,686 4,000 5,000 7,500 10,000 12,50 15,00 5000 5000 034 RAMSEY-WASHINGTON METRO 12,795,915,200 6,142,039 30.710 4.000 5.000 10,000 12.50 15.00 5000 500 15,00 070 CAPITOL REGION WATERSHED 17,205,420,400 8,258,602 41,293 4,000 5,000 7,50 10,000 12,50 10000 1000 058 NINE MILE CREEK WATERSHED 17,454,792,004 8,378,300 41,892 4.000 5.000 7,500 10,000 12.50 15.00 10000 10000 RICE CREEK WATERSHED DIST 8,591,411 42,957 4,000 5.000 7.50 10.000 12.50 15.00 1000 038 17,898,773,291 10000 MINNEHAHA CREEK WATERSHED 43,847,830,423 21,046,959 105,235 4,000 5,000 7,50 10,000 12,50 15,00 10000 10000 Total Dues Amo 559,366 145,284 168,180 218,251 255,051 287,551 317,612 143,500 151,000 Actual - Est to be 90% of Total \$130,755 \$151,362 \$196,426 \$229,546 \$258,796 \$285,851 \$129,150 \$135,900 5,000 \$ 7,500 \$ Cap \$ 10,000 \$ 12,500 \$ 15,000

3

5

6

9

Notes:

Taxable Market Value source: BWSR Memorandum, July 1, 2016

Column 1 = Maximum Levy (w/0 \$250,000 cap) = Taxable Market Value (TMV 2016) multiplied by 0.00048 per 103D.905 Subd. 3 and incorporated into MAWD By Laws

Column 2 = Maximum Dues = Maximum Levy multiplied by 0.005 per MAWD By Laws (However, MAWD Dues Invoice uses 0.003)

Column 3 = 2016 Dues = Column 2 capped at \$4,000 (2016 Dues = TMV x 0.00048 x 0.005 capped at \$4,000)

Columns 4-8 = Maxiumu Levy (w/o \$250,000 cap) multiplied by 0.03 with \$5,000, \$7,500, \$10,000, \$12,500 and \$15,000 cap)

Column 8 & 9 provide examples of two appraoches to use ranges of levy amounts to set dues.

Option 5 Groups	
Max. Levy amount range (Col. 1)	Dues amount
0-200,000	\$ 500
200,001 - 500,000	\$ 1,000
500,001 - 1,000,000	\$ 2,000
1,000,001 - 3,000,000	\$ 3,000
3,000,001 - 7,000,000	\$ 5,000
>7,000,000	\$ 10,000

Option 6 Groups			
Max. Levy amount range (Col. 1)	Dues	Dues amount	
0-200,000	\$	1,000	
200,001 - 1,000,000	\$	2,000	
1,000,001 - 3,000,000	\$	3,000	
3,000,001 - 7,000,000	\$	5,000	
> 7000000	\$	10,000	