1	
2	
3	MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF
4 5	THE MINNEHAHA CREEK WATERSHED DISTRICT BOARD OF MANAGERS
6	DOARD OF MANAGERS
7	November 10, 2016
8	
9	
10	CALL TO ORDER
11	
12	The regular meeting of the Minnehaha Creek Watershed District Board of Managers was called
13 14	to order by President Sherry White at 6:45 p.m. at the District office, 15320 Minnetonka Boulevard, Minnetonka, Minnesota 55345.
14	Boulevald, Minnetolika, Minnesota 55545.
16	MANAGERS PRESENT
17	
18	Sherry White, Brian Shekleton, Richard Miller, Kurt Rogness, Pamela Blixt, William Olson.
19	
20	MANAGERS ABSENT
21	
22 23	William Becker.
23 24	DISTRICT STAFF AND CONSULTANTS PRESENT
25	
26	Lars Erdahl, Administrator; James Wisker, Planning and Projects Director; Telly Mamayek,
27	Communications and Education Director; Rachel Workin, Permitting Technician; Chris Meehan,
28	Consulting Engineer; Chuck Holtman, Counsel.
29	
30	MATTERS FROM THE FLOOR
31 32	None.
32 33	None.
34	APPROVAL OF AGENDA
35	
36	Manager Miller moved and Manager Rogness seconded approval of the agenda. Upon vote,
37	the motion carried 5-0.
38	
39	INFORMATION ITEMS AND CORRESPONDENCE
40 41	Managar White noted that District representatives have been invited to the Minnetriste State of
41 42	Manager White noted that District representatives have been invited to the Minnetrista State of the City presentation on November 29. 2016. She also noted that the City of Minnetrista has
43	completed its wellhead protection process. The City expresses its thanks to the District for its
44	assistance.
45	
46	

#### 47 **CONSENT AGENDA**

48

49 Manager Shekleton moved, Manager Miller seconded approval of the consent agenda, 50 consisting of the minutes of the October 27, 2016 meeting. Upon vote, the motion carried 5-0.

51

### 52 **REGULAR AGENDA** 53

54

Board, Committee and Task Force Reports 55

56 Manager White reported on the meeting she attended concerning the Six Mile subwatershed that 57 focused on water quality diagnostics and work being done with the City of Victoria.

58

Manager Miller reported on the October 27 Policy and Planning Committee meeting and thanked 59

60 Matthew Cook for the very thorough minutes.

61

Ms. Mamayek reported on the Citizens Advisory Committee (CAC) meeting that was held last 62

night. The CAC primarily considered cost-share applications. Six non-homeowner applications 63

64 were considered and will come before the Board at the December workshop with approval

65 recommendations totaling \$170,000 in funding out of a \$261,824 program budget. The CAC also

approved two shoreline cost-share grants. One is on Christmas Lake and will involve raingarden 66

and shoreline work with video documentation. The second is for a project on Gray's Bay 67

68 involving native plants and pollinators. Finally, the CAC approved 15 of 16 Cynthia Krieg

69 projects. These will come to the Board in December as well with a total funding

70 recommendation of \$98,000, just under the District's \$100,000 program budget.

71

72 (Manager Shekleton arrived at this time.)

73

74 Manager White noted the upcoming meetings set forth on the agenda.

75

76 Permit 16-502, Lander Group (Minneapolis)

77

78 Ms. Workin introduced the application for a permit for a mixed-use project on a 0.55-acre parcel

79 in the City of Minneapolis. The application requires erosion control and stormwater management

80 permits, and is before the Board at the request of the adjacent homeowners. The project was

81 approved by the City with a zero-lot-line variance and the homeowners are concerned about the

82 proposed structure intercepting and deflecting rain and snow into a four-foot wide corridor

83 between the structure and their house, risking water problems for their structure. Ms. Workin

noted that the site is entirely within the District's legal boundary, but a part of the site is within 84

85 the hydrologic boundary of the Mississippi Watershed Management Organization (MWMO).

86 She said that District staff informed the MWMO of the application and the MWMO indicated it 87 does not have concerns.

88

89 Ms. Workin advised that under the District's stormwater management rule, the project requires

90 only incorporation of Best Management Practices (BMPs) and the applicant proposes to meet

- 91 this requirement by installing a sump catch basin to pretreat stormwater before discharge to the
- stormsewer system and by providing additional green space. The roof will drain into the
- stormsewer system and rain otherwise will sheet flow off the site, as under present conditions.
- 94
- 95 Steven Ostendorf, East 38<sup>th</sup> Street, Minneapolis addressed the Board. He stated that he is the
- 96 directly adjoining homeowner. He reiterated his family's concern regarding the interception of
- 97 precipitation. They asked the Lander Group to address the design but the Lander Group was not
- 98 interested in being responsive. He affirmed that the four-foot separation lies on his property.
- 99
- 100 Responding to a question from Manager White, Mr. Holtman affirmed that the District's
- 101 authority is limited to the terms and criteria set forth in the stormwater management rule. He
- 102 noted that, as Ms. Workin advised, this is limited to requiring implementation of feasible BMPs
- 103 to manage site stormwater. He noted that that the precipitation with which Mr. Ostendorf is
- 104 concerned is not in fact site stormwater or snowfall but is precipitation that is intercepted before
- reaching the applicant's property. He advised that the District could require practices to address
- 106 contributing site flows if staff and the engineer consider there to be technically feasible practices,
- 107 but with the siting of the structure on the boundary it does not appear that Mr. Ostendorf's
- 108 concern is with site stormwater. Mr. Meehan added that while the structure may deflect
- precipitation onto Mr. Ostendorf's property when the wind is arriving from certain directions, in
- 110 other circumstances it will prevent precipitation from reaching the property.
- 111
- 112 Manager Blixt expressed some consternation as to the City's approval of the variance, noting in
- 113 particular questions about fire access. Manager Miller expressed his sympathy for the
- 114 homeowners but concurred that the District does not have authority to address their concern.
- 115

## 116 Manager White moved, Manager Shekleton seconded approval of the permit in accordance

- 117 with staff recommendations and conditions. Upon vote, the motion carried 5-1 (Manager
- 118 Rogness opposed).
- 119

121

- 120 Strategic Human Resources Planning
- Mr. Wisker introduced the subject by advising that staff is seeking a Board discussion regarding
  the consultant's scope, which will be brought back to the Board next week for action.
- 124
- Mr. Wisker briefly will give the history and genesis of the strategic human resource planning effort. Consideration of the need for a salary survey led to a more comprehensive approach in which the Board asked Managers Olson and Becker to work with staff on a broader human resources review. The opportunity to link human resources planning and strategic planning was recognized and staff have worked with Springsted Inc. to develop a scope for strategic human resource planning assistance.
- 131
- 132 In the present strategic evaluation of the District's work, the steps include defining program 133 purposes, setting priorities within programs, prioritizing among program priorities and then

- allocating and prioritizing resources across and within programs. Presently the work is in what is
- defined as Phase II, analysis and decisionmaking to implement the identified priorities.
- 136
- 137 Mr. Wisker then turned to the proposed scope for strategic human resources planning. He noted 138 that the scope comprises three phases but that the present focus is Phase I, that being skills, staff
- 139 capacity and structure needed to support organizational priorities. Subsequently, Phase II will
- 140 focus on operationalizing the outcome of Phase I, including considerations such as retention,
- 141 training, incentives and outsourcing.
- 142

143 Mr. Wisker reviewed the tasks identified under Phase I: drafting a human resource needs

- 144 assessment; performing an organizational scan of existing capacities and skills and a human
- 145 resources capacity analysis; and developing recommendations for recruitment, restructuring,
- 146 retention, training and outsourcing. The intent is to complete the Task I report in February, and
- 147 the Task II organizational scan in January. Task III recommendations presently are scheduled for
- 148 completion in March.
- 149

150 Mr. Wisker suggested that the working group would look to the Board's Executive Committee to 151 receive and review output, though some elements with a program strategic planning link would

- 152 engage the Policy and Planning Committee. Staff defers to the Board to determine the work flow
- through the Board Committee's. Mr. Wisker also noted that staff consulted with District counsel
- to ensure that the continuing participation of Managers Olson and Becker in the process does not
- 155 precipitate any Open Meeting Law concerns. Manager Miller asked for clarification. Mr.
- 156 Holtman noted that the Open Meeting Law requires both the Board and committees of the Board 157 to meet in duly noticed public session. To the extent that this requirement would complicate
- efficient work on the strategic human resources planning effort, it is important to structure the
- 159 involvement of Managers Olson and Becker so that their engagement cannot reasonably be
- 160 misapprehended as the work of a Board committee. He further noted that the statute does not
- 161 clearly define what is a committee, but that case decisions and opinions of the Minnesota
- 162 Department of Administration have identified the hallmarks of a committee as lying in its
- 163 exercise of delegated decisionmaking authority on behalf of the Board and, further, whether
- 164 without holding such authority, the subgroup has the effect of limiting the deliberative function
- 165 of the whole body. He stated that counsel does not have a concern as to the intended
- 166 engagement of Managers Olson and Becker, but has advised District staff as to basic ways of
- structuring the involvement of managers such as they remain clearly as Board liaisons to support
- 168 the work of District staff rather than managers exercising authority on behalf of the Board.
- 169
- 170 Manager Blixt expressed concern about Mr. Wisker's apparent leading role in the effort. She
- 171 noted his substantial workload and questioned why the District Administrator or Operations
- 172 Director is not leading the effort. She also questioned the work flow to the Policy and Planning
- 173 Committee rather than the Operations and Programs Committee given that the principal subject
- 174 is human resources. Manager Miller noted that the Policy and Planning Committee has not
- 175 played a role to date and that the Executive Committee is anticipated to be the chief Board
- 176 committee through which work will flow. Mr. Erdahl added that Mr. Wisker is playing this
- 177 present role primarily because the effort developed in parallel with the strategic planning process

- and has been driven initially by this coordination with strategic planning. The Board recognized
- that the fundamental questions to be answered concern whether the identified task and proposedwork flow make sense.
- 181
- 182 Manager Miller offered that the scope looks comprehensive, that staff engagement has been
- 183 exceptional and that both managers, with public- and private-sector human resource experience,
- are a valuable resource to staff. He also expressed his opinion that all work flow should go
- 185 through the Executive Committee.
- 186
- 187 Manager Shekleton offered his sense that Managers Olson and Becker indeed are providing
- 188 context to staff and not Board direction. Manager Blixt concurred that perhaps the Executive
- 189 Committee should be the focus of work flow. Mr. Wisker advised that staff will return with a
- 190 proposed scope next week and at that time the Board can discuss the role of Managers Olson and
- 191 Becker and the board committees in the process.
- 192

### 193 ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT

- 194
- 195 Mr. Wisker reported that the District was invited to meet with the Watershed Restoration and
- 196 Protection Strategy group last week on an agenda shared with the Mississippi WMO. The
- agencies constituting the working group are interested in the District's urban ecology model. The
- 198 Metropolitan Council representative suggested that the District present to a broader audience,
- 199 perhaps including the Governor's water quality staff.
- 200
- Mr. Erdahl noted that at the next meeting the Board will select delegates for the Minnesota
- 202 Association of Watershed Districts annual meeting.
- 203

Mr. Erdahl also noted that presently there are many open appointments for state advisory bodies,
 some designated for watershed district representatives. He will circulate this listing. He also

- 206 noted that with the recent election, there has been turnover among local officeholders. Some
- 207 officeholders with whom the District has worked very productively will be departing and there208 will be new faces.
- 209
- Finally, Mr. Erdahl noted that tomorrow is Veterans Day and he expressed gratitude on behalf of the organization for those who have served.

# 212213 ADJOURNMENT

- 213
- There being no further business, the regular meeting of the Minnehaha Creek Watershed DistrictBoard of Managers was adjourned at 8:02 p.m.
- 217
- 218 Respectfully submitted,
- 219
- 220
- 221 Kurt Rogness, Secretary