

1
2
3 **MINUTES OF THE REGULAR MEETING OF**
4 **THE MINNEHAHA CREEK WATERSHED DISTRICT**
5 **BOARD OF MANAGERS**
6

7 **November 9, 2017**
8
9

10 **CALL TO ORDER**

11
12 The regular meeting of the Minnehaha Creek Watershed District Board of Managers was called
13 to order by President Sherry White at 6:50 p.m. at the District Offices, 15320 Minnetonka
14 Boulevard, Minnetonka, Minnesota.
15

16 **MANAGERS PRESENT**

17
18 Sherry White, Brian Shekleton, Richard Miller, Kurt Rogness, William Olson, William Becker,
19 Jessica Loftus.
20

21 **MANAGERS ABSENT**

22
23 None.
24

25 **DISTRICT STAFF AND CONSULTANTS PRESENT**

26
27 Lars Erdahl, Administrator; Renae Clark, Planner-Project Manager; Telly Mamayek,
28 Communications and Education Director; Laura Domyancich, Project and Land Technician;
29 David Mandt, Operations and Support Services Director; Anna Brown, Planner-Project Manager;
30 Mike Hayman, Planner-Project Manager; Darren Lochner, Education Program Manager; Kelly
31 Dooley, Water Quality Manager; Chris Meehan, District Consulting Engineer; Chuck Holtman,
32 District Counsel.
33

34 **MATTERS FROM THE FLOOR**

35
36 Mr. Lochner introduced Terry Hammock and Laura Morris from the Park Place Townhome
37 Association, who made a brief presentation about a raingarden project installed on the townhome
38 property with a \$100,000 cost-share grant from the District and additional funding from
39 Hennepin County. The area of asphalt was reduced by 20 percent. Mr. Hammock noted his
40 Master Water Steward training and its emphasis on keeping stakeholders including homeowners
41 informed and engaged. He noted that ten reports were presented to interested parties over two
42 years with numerous other appearances at events. Ms. Morris added that the District's funding
43 allowed the organization to do what it knew was right and thanked the Board.
44
45
46

47 **APPROVAL OF AGENDA**

48
49 *Manager Becker moved, Manager Olson seconded to approve the agenda. Upon vote, the*
50 *motion carried 6-0.*

51
52 **INFORMATION ITEMS/CORRESPONDENCE**

53
54 President White referenced the District's 50th Anniversary history brochure in the packet.

55
56 **CONSENT AGENDA**

57
58 *Manager White moved, Manager Loftus seconded approval of the consent agenda, consisting*
59 *of the October 26, 2017 Board minutes, with a correction at line 89 changing "exciting" to*
60 *"existing." Upon vote, the motion carried 6-0.*

61
62 **REGULAR AGENDA**

63
64 **Board, Committee and Task Force Reports**

65
66 **President's Report**

67
68 President White thanked Ms. Mamayek for the excellent Sunset Celebration 50th Anniversary
69 event on November 2 and noted great representation from the community and how impressed she
70 was with the breadth of engagement and accomplishment of the honored Watershed Heroes. She
71 thanked Ms. Mamayek for the year's work including the videos describing the District's history.
72 Ms. Mamayek thanked Sarah Bhimani and Mr. Lochner.

73
74 President White also noted the ribbon cutting that occurred today at the Oxford Village
75 development adjacent to Cottageville Park.

76
77 Manager Loftus reported on the Operations and Programs Committee meeting. Topics included
78 an update on the 325 Blake Road request for qualifications; a human resources plan update and a
79 discussion of the Big Island conservation easement. A human resources discussion is planned for
80 the December 14 meeting.

81
82 Manager Becker attended the Citizens' Advisory Committee (CAC) meeting. A presentation
83 concerned a Lego League competition regarding monitoring buoys. Ms. Dooley and Eric
84 Fieldseth made a presentation on E-Grade with member discussion and there was further
85 discussion as to the CAC purpose going forward. Manager Becker reported that the discussion
86 led to the conclusion that a focus group should be convened, facilitated by District staff and
87 including District staff and board members and CAC members. A motion was passed to form a
88 joint committee for discussion.

89

90 ***Manager Becker moved, Manager Miller seconded to endorse and support this undertaking.***
91 ***Upon vote, the motion carried 6-0.***

92

93 President White noted the upcoming meeting and event schedule, and that the indicated 4:30
94 p.m. starting times for committee meetings should be 5:15 p.m. Manager Becker asked that the
95 agenda note which Board member is assigned to attend each indicated CAC meeting, and also
96 include the Metro MAWD meeting.

97

98 Release of 325 Blake Road Request for Qualifications

99

100 Mr. Hayman reviewed the History of alteration of the natural conditions within the Minnehaha
101 Creek corridor; the history of the District's interest in the 325 Blake Road site and its role in
102 transforming the Greenway; and the partnership planning that has occurred regarding the creek
103 corridor and the broader area. He reviewed the District's and larger public vision as expressed in
104 the request for qualifications (RFQ), the RFQ components and the schedule for review of
105 submittals.

106

107 Mr. Hayman related that once the RFQ has been published, staff will continue to work on
108 developing the scoring criteria and the selection process details. He reported that City of Hopkins
109 and Hennepin County staff, the Southwest Community Works Blake Corridor Subcommittee, the
110 Hopkins City Council and the District's advisors all were consulted in developing the RFQ. The
111 intent is to release it in mid-December or early January, with developer selection in the first
112 quarter of 2018. In the meantime, stormwater, decommissioning, grant seeking and demolition
113 planning activities will continue.

114

115 ***Manager Rogness moved, Manager Becker seconded to adopt Resolution 17-068, as follows:***

116

117 ***NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that the Minnehaha Creek Watershed***
118 ***District Board of Managers hereby authorizes the District Administrator to***
119 ***release the Request for Qualifications for Master Developer for the 325 Blake***
120 ***Road – Hopkins Cold Storage redevelopment site, with non-substantive edits to***
121 ***the document and schedule based on advice of MCWD legal counsel, Hopkins***
122 ***City Council and the Southwest Community Works Blake Road Station***
123 ***Subcommittee.***

124

125 Manager Miller commended Mr. Hayman on the excellent and knowledgeable presentation and
126 the inclusion of community partners in the process. He commended Mr. Hayman, James Wisker
127 and Louis Smith for their work.

128

129 ***Upon vote, the motion carried 6-0.***

130

131

132 Ordering Arden Park Restoration Project, Approving Project Agreement and Authorizing
133 Design Contract

134
135 Ms. Clark introduced Ann Kattreh, City of Edina Parks and Recreation Director, who is present
136 in the event of any questions. She reviewed the District's Balanced Urban Ecology strategy and
137 the history of the project as an outgrowth of that strategy. She reviewed the issues, drivers and
138 strategies defined for the Minnehaha Creek subwatershed within the District's watershed
139 management plan, principally treating regional stormwater, restoring the creek corridor and
140 expanding greenspace within the built environment. She related these to the Arden Park project
141 goals. Ms. Clark then reviewed the project history, beginning with the 54th Street Bridge
142 reconstruction in which the City first engaged the District. Ms. Clark noted that the project
143 integrates the Edina 2015 strategic plan for parks with District goals for the corridor. She
144 reviewed the public process that has occurred to date regarding the project and noted that the
145 City Council approved the concept plan, project agreement and design scope of work at its
146 September 19, 2017 meeting.

147
148 Ms. Clark reviewed issues that were raised at the October 26, 2017 public hearing. Land title
149 issues were raised, but on followup the City has advised that there are no issues. Regarding the
150 historic creek alignment, Ms. Clark responded that there is evidence that the original channel was
151 moved for dam location and also filling related to creating the park. The proposed realignment is
152 not strictly to reproduce the historic alignment but to maximize ecological lift, limit tree removal,
153 preserve specimen trees, and accommodate the City's recreation design. As to project efficacy
154 monitoring, the District has existing data that it will supplement next year, and this will allow the
155 District to assess project performance through subsequent data collection.

156
157 Ms. Clark then reviewed the concept plan, including the park improvement goals derived from
158 community involvement. She showed the area of approximate impact and noted a tree survey
159 finding 90 trees may be affected. The District believes the design can limit impact below that
160 number. She reviewed stream health summary criteria and compared existing versus proposed
161 conditions with respect to those criteria. She then reviewed the proposed project agreement and
162 the thinking underlying the allocation of roles between the District and the City. Mr. Holtman
163 noted that the agreement in the Board packet differs slightly from the agreement as approved by
164 the City Council, specifically in that Section 17 applies up to the date of contract award, not bid
165 opening, and Section 17 A should be considered deleted.

166
167 (Manager Shekleton arrived at this time.)

168
169 Ms. Clark then reviewed the staff recommendation to enter into a design contract with Inter-
170 Fluve, with Wenck Associates and Hart Howerton as subconsultants, without undertaking a
171 competitive process as contemplated by Board policies. The reason for this is the prior
172 experience of the consultant team working within the Minnehaha Creek corridor, including
173 developing the concept plan for this project, as well Inter-Fluve's national reputation for this
174 specialized work. Staff has negotiated a proposed scope and price to align with prior work and

175 Ms. Clark notes that the design cost, as 14 percent of estimated construction cost, meets
176 benchmarks.
177

178 Ms. Clark noted that the City Council has asked City and District staff to develop a public
179 engagement process. This proposed process will be reviewed by the Parks Committee and then
180 City Council. The proposal is to use the Parks Committee as the locus for communications and
181 reporting to the public, followed by briefings to the City Council and the District Board.
182

183 ***Manager Rogness moved, Manager Miller seconded adoption of Resolution 17-069 as follows:***
184

185 ***NOW THEREFORE BE IT RESOLVED that pursuant to Minnesota Statutes***
186 ***§103B.251 and the WMP, the MCWD Board of Managers orders the Project,***
187 ***with a total estimated MCWD cost (including design, construction, and***
188 ***construction oversight) of \$2,350,590.***
189

190 ***BE IT FURTHER RESOLVED the Board of Managers hereby approves the***
191 ***presented Cooperative Agreement with the City of Edina providing for***
192 ***coordination and cost sharing in design and construction of the Arden Park***
193 ***Restoration Project, integrating creek restoration, creek corridor improvements,***
194 ***stormwater management and park improvements, and authorizes the Board***
195 ***President to execute the agreement, with any further non-material changes and***
196 ***on advice of counsel, and authorizes the MCWD Administrator to implement***
197 ***the agreement, including developing and carrying out the public information***
198 ***plan and cooperating with the City to seek grant funding;***
199

200 ***BE IT FINALLY RESOLVED the Board of Managers hereby authorizes the***
201 ***District Administrator to execute a project design contract with Inter-Fluve in***
202 ***accordance with the presented scope of work, with non-material changes and***
203 ***on advice of counsel, for the not to exceed amount of \$440,265.***
204

205 Manager Olson inquired about the trail leading from the bridge on the concept design. Ms. Clark
206 replied that conceptually the trail is asphalt on what is presently an eroded slope but the intent is
207 more naturalizing with the use of boulders. Also responding to Manager Olson, Ms. Clark
208 reviewed the proposed bioretention ponds and the catchments that they will treat. The pond to
209 the northeast is the largest catchment of about 80 acres. Altogether, the bioretention ponds are
210 estimated to remove 26 pounds of phosphorus per year from a total area of about 100 acres.
211

212 Responding to questions, Mr. Meehan depicted the entire drainage area as encompassing an area
213 north to 50th and France. He noted that the City has installed facilities to capture stormwater as a
214 part of its roadwork, with the proposed practices here intended to capture remaining areas.
215

216 Responding to Manager Olson, Ms. Clark stated that any grants received would be allocated
217 between the City and District in proportion to the cost allocation for the grant-eligible work.
218

Minutes of the Regular Meeting
Minnehaha Creek Watershed District
Board of Managers
11-9-2017

219 Manager Miller commented that the high quality work of the District's consultants was
220 important to obtaining City approval. He noted that the project has been somewhat controversial
221 and that Ms. Clark's professional demeanor and patience have been critical to its successful
222 advance.

223
224 Manager Becker and President White extended their thanks to the City for working with its
225 constituents and the District to move the project forward.

226

227 Information Technology (IT) Plan

228

229 Mr. Erdahl introduced Carolyn Adams, North Point GIS, who has worked with the District for
230 several years and helped to develop the IT plan. Mr. Erdahl reviewed the goals of the IT Plan to
231 coordinate on a common interface and improve the website as a portal for staff, managers and
232 the public. An important element of this is to move towards a device-friendly format.

233

234 Ms. Adams reviewed the approach of the plan and some choices that the District could make, as
235 well as a proposed roadmap and schedule to implement the plan over the years 2018-20. Mr.
236 Erdahl added that staff would come to the Board for approval as individual phases and projects
237 are launched.

238

239 Manager Shekleton inquired about the mobile compatibility of Arc GIS and Laserfiche. Ms.
240 Adams replied that these elements are mobile compatible, though the website itself is not fully
241 so, meaning that the portal may not be fully formed to mobile access but the elements themselves
242 would be. The website updating is scheduled for 2019 but the Board could advance that.

243

244 Manager Rogness asked if the WISKI data program is web accessible. Mr. Erdahl replied that it
245 isn't used that way now, but rather is shared with specialists and other specifically interested
246 parties through other mode of access. In 2020, the District would look at what parts of WISKI
247 may be more generally available and useful. Ms. Dooley added that the Board did authorize the
248 purchase of a web-based WISKI but that staff chose not to pursue that aspect yet.

249

250 Mr. Erdahl said that with Board approval of the plan, staff would intend to return in December
251 with a work plan that incorporates the plan. He reviewed the budget breakdown in the plan, with
252 estimated annual costs of \$121,000 to \$130,000 (2018), \$114,000 (2019) and \$107,000 to
253 \$123,000 (2020). The next steps would be a staff updating of the 2018 work plan, initiation of
254 the 2018 first-phase work elements, and ongoing implementation of the plan with Board
255 approval of each project and committee updates.

256

257 Ms. Adams replied to Manager Olson that Amazon currently is hosting the District's GIS data.
258 Regarding system security, she stated that Arc GIS has sophisticated authentication, as should
259 the District's website. The District should have standard firewalls in place and Amazon has them
260 also.

261

Minutes of the Regular Meeting
Minnehaha Creek Watershed District
Board of Managers
11-9-2017

262 Responding to Manager Olson, Ms. Adams noted where the plan and budget includes staff
263 training. Manager Rogness asked staff where the District might advance or defer certain projects.
264 Mr. Mandt replied that permitting presently is by far the most interconnected and developed
265 element and is “shovel ready” to be further developed under the plan. In addition, he would
266 recommend an emphasis on advancing those elements of the District system that are currently
267 operating below their licensed level. Probably the biggest priority is capital project management,
268 which needs to be digitized.

269
270 Manager Miller asked about staff engagement in plan development. Mr. Erdahl replied that the
271 staff IT working group had many meetings, including a recent meeting on implementation
272 priorities. The permitting staff in particular has been very involved as permitting has been
273 identified as an early priority. Manager Miller cautioned that the District not do more than it
274 needs to and create a bigger risk than necessary in the event that the system as developed
275 encounters unexpected problems. Mr. Mandt noted that to a large extent the District is investing
276 in technology that has already been installed and conformed to the District’s needs, principally
277 GIS and Laserfiche.

278
279 Manager Shekleton offered that he is uncertain of the efficiencies that will be gained. He would
280 ask the question of how staff will function more effectively or how the public will have its needs
281 served and questions answered more efficiently. Manager Loftus noted \$176,000 in available
282 funds versus \$350,000 in needs for the three-year plan. Mr. Erdahl replied that typically the
283 District budgets annually, with the existing available funds cited by Manager Loftus from
284 carryover.

285
286 Manager White asked what the District would be able to do in a year that it can’t do now. Ms.
287 Adams replied that one capacity would be full life-cycle permitting. Manager Rogness asked if
288 WISKI is now an effective tool. Mr. Dooley emphasized that staff uses it every day for
289 connections from the field, trend graphing, data sharing and QA/QC.

290
291 Manager Shekleton questioned whether the District policy of competitive professional services
292 retention for contracts over \$25,000 would be undermined by the implementation being broken
293 up into many smaller projects. Mr. Erdahl replied that in each case the District can ask whether
294 competition is appropriate regardless of the project budget or whether there are unique
295 capabilities in a particular consultant. Manager Shekleton said further that he doesn’t understand
296 the vision for how everything should be linked. He believes that data architecture should follow
297 from answers as to how the data should flow and for whom. Mr. Erdahl replied there is a need to
298 weave the system as the District proceeds, based on the needs and as developed through each
299 project. Responding to Manager Becker, Ms. Adams emphasized that she begins each project by
300 looking at the business function to be accomplished and the work flow. Manager Becker said he
301 is less concerned than Manager Shekleton that the full structure is not yet conceived, and
302 believes that proceeding more dynamically pushes an organization forward.

303
304 Manager Loftus asked how the District would retain the flexibility and capacity to select better
305 product components than those already proposed to be incorporated. President White offered that

306 she is comfortable proceeding with a recommendation from staff and the District's professional
307 consultant.
308

309 ***Manager Becker moved, Manager Olson seconded to direct the Administrator to incorporate***
310 ***implementation of the Information Technology Plan to update the 2018 IT Workplan and***
311 ***Budget, present the individual elements of the 2018 Workplan to the Board of Managers for***
312 ***review and approval, and initiate the scoping for the Capital Project, Project Management and***
313 ***Land Management database.***
314

315 Manager Miller said he is not convinced that staff has been sufficiently involved. Manager
316 Loftus offered that she doesn't see a need to rush into implementation. Manager Shekleton
317 would like more Board engagement through the committee structure. ***Upon vote, the motion***
318 ***failed 3-3-1 (Managers Shekleton, Loftus, Olson opposed; Manager Miller abstaining).***
319

320 ***Manager Shekleton moved, Manager Becker seconded to move the matter to the appropriate***
321 ***committee and to work further with staff. Upon vote, the motion carried 7-0.***
322

323 MAWD Delegation Selection
324

325 ***Manager Rogness moved, Manager Shekleton seconded to name President White and***
326 ***Manager Olson as the Board delegates. Upon vote, the motion carried 7-0.***
327

328 **BOARD DISCUSSION ITEMS**

329 MAWD Resolution Consideration
330

331 ***Manager Miller moved, Manager Olson seconded to authorize the Board's delegates to***
332 ***determine the Board's position on resolutions. Upon vote, the motion carried 7-0.***
333
334

335 Wasserman West Design Scope
336

337 Ms. Brown presented the proposed design scope for the recreational and conservation
338 development of the Lake Wasserman property in coordination with the City of Victoria. No
339 action is requested for tonight.
340

341 Ms. Brown said that the scope is to be considered by the City Council on November 27. She
342 reviewed the subwatershed implementation strategy under the District's watershed management
343 plan, the history of District interest in the property and coordination with the City, the history of
344 Board involvement and the preceding actions of the District to acquire the property and to
345 develop a cooperative agreement with the City for District transfer of the property to the City
346 after partnered development of preliminary plans. The District and City signed a cooperative
347 agreement in the spring; subsequently the District closed on its acquisition, and now it holds fee
348 title.
349

350 Ms. Brown reviewed the concept design for development of the park space and District water
351 quality and vegetation enhancement work on the associated wetland and riparian area. The
352 estimated cost for alum treatment of the basin is an initial \$50,000 cost with another \$10,000
353 every several years thereafter. The concept estimate for the upland parkland development is
354 about \$1.9 million.

355
356 Staff will recommend that the District retain Hart Howerton and Wenck Associates as uniquely
357 qualified, in part through their development of the concept plan. The goal of the scope is to
358 generate a robust cost estimate for purposes including grant applications. The scope has three
359 phases: data collection, an alum treatment plan and a 30-percent park design. Ms. Brown
360 reviewed the schedule of the design process and public engagement that is anticipated, the design
361 cost for each phase subtask and the timeline, with Board and City Council approval and property
362 transfer in Spring 2018.

363
364 Manager Miller asked whether this is a priority project because of its location in the
365 subwatershed or whether it is prompted by opportunity. Ms. Brown replied that the property is
366 situated midway within the subwatershed and will not result in a direct impact on water quality
367 in Halsted Bay, but that it is a real estate opportunity in concordance with previous identified
368 opportunities and will result in a significant load reduction to Lake Wassermann. Mr. Meehan
369 added that it will remove about 76 pounds per year of phosphorus, which is about seven percent
370 of the necessary load reduction.

371
372 Big Island Nature Park Easement Enforcement

373
374 Mr. Erdahl noted that several people from Orono are in the audience and introduced Ms.
375 Domyancich.

376
377 Ms. Domyancich reviewed the District's standard approach as to easement monitoring, which
378 includes an inspection at least annually, documentation and communication with the owner if
379 there are issues. The District also will inspect if there is a complaint or other information
380 suggesting an inspection is needed. In the case of Big Island, 30 to 40 trees had their limbs cut
381 and shoreline vegetation was cut. Here, staff notified the Interim City Administrator and gave a
382 timeframe for the City to chip the limbs and spread the chips on existing trails. City staff was
383 responsive. Ms. Domyancich also noted mutual recognition of City Council and staff turnover.
384 The City now is recognizing its lack of institutional knowledge. District and City staff have made
385 strides over the past two months and have agreed to meet twice a year.

386
387 Mr. Erdahl added that he and Ms. Domyancich met with the Interim City Administrator and the
388 Public Works Director to understand the role of the Big Island Committee as an advisory body to
389 the City Council and the Council's posture of directing staff management. Mr. Erdahl and Ms.
390 Domyancich have communicated several times to the City the value of the easement to the
391 District, and Mr. Erdahl believes the City has heard that. The District has asked for early contact
392 and recently received a commitment from the City that District staff will be included proactively
393 in discussion of City activity.

394
395 Victoria Seals, Orono City Council, stated that she agrees that the City did not communicate
396 properly with the District but that it was not willful. She believes there is now a good
397 understanding, including specifically what constitutes the scope of trail maintenance. She said
398 that the City certainly is able to plant more trees if that should be an appropriate restoration step.
399 She would like the two bodies to work together to achieve resource goals and to be effective in
400 making small management decisions. She believes that the City shares the District's
401 conservation goals. As a City Council member she would like better communications and would
402 like to understand more effectively.

403
404 Gabriel Jabbour asked that the City Council recognize that the City did not act properly and will
405 take restoration actions as are determined to be needed. He is concerned about future
406 coordination and has asked Councilmember Seals to work to achieve a Council majority to
407 support the posture that she describes. He asked that the District maintain its diligence still. He
408 also suggested that there would be private funds available to partner, including for vegetative
409 restoration activity.

410
411 Manager Becker offered that he appreciates Council Member Seals' thoughts and tends to think
412 that a lot of the issue probably is due to ineffective communication.

413
414 ***Manager Becker moved, Manager Miller seconded to direct staff to prepare a generic***
415 ***stewardship plan for Board approval for application to all District easements consistent with***
416 ***guidance published by the Land Trust Alliance, and to direct that a letter to the City be drafted***
417 ***and presented for Board review and approval stating the District's intent to enforce the***
418 ***easement including but not limited to court action, as necessary to protect ecosystem services***
419 ***secured by the easement.***

420
421 Manager Becker explained that the concept of ecosystem services includes preventing erosion,
422 maintaining soil capacity and similar functions served by the easement and that the stewardship
423 plan should include contacting landowners on a regular basis, defining action for violations in a
424 hierarchical fashion and providing for the resources to carry out the program.

425
426 ***Manager Olson requested division of the question.*** President White recognized the division.

427
428 Manager Miller stated that he does not live on the lake but is willing to spend the funds in order
429 to secure the broad public benefits. The easement belongs to the District's taxpayers. President
430 White expressed frustration at the challenge of conveying the District's vision to the City
431 Council, which she sees as a prerequisite to successful long-term cooperation. She noted to
432 Councilmember Seals that in May she and Mr. Erdahl met with the Councilmember and that
433 copies of the easement and management plan were in front of the parties at that time.
434 Councilmember Seals said that she will advocate the necessary restoration to the City Council. It
435 was not her intent to say that the District did not communicate properly and she would like to
436 strengthen communication going forward.

437

438 Manager Shekleton emphasized that the easement is a legally binding agreement and that the
439 City did not uphold its legal obligation under the easement at a time when it was aware of the
440 document. He appreciates the Council member's good will in coming to the Board, but the City
441 has to uphold its obligations both in letter and in spirit or there will be a problem. Manager
442 Miller added that the District is a trustee, as well, of the substantial state funds that went into the
443 acquisition.

444
445 Tom Kohler, Orono, asked for more specifics regarding the violations. Ms. Domyancich
446 reiterated that they included shoreline vegetation cut from the riprap, the cutting of tree limbs
447 and a burn scar from an illegal bonfire.

448
449 Mr. Jabbour added that there are issues concerning dock maintenance and the use of the property
450 for a wedding, among other violations.

451

452 *Upon vote, the first main motion carried 7-0.*

453

454 *Upon vote, the second main motion carried 7-0.*

455

456 **ADMINISTRATOR'S REPORT**

457

458 Mr. Erdahl reported that many compliments have been received regarding the Sunset
459 Celebration. He is in the process of scheduling an Executive Committee meeting.

460

461 **ADJOURNMENT**

462

463 There being no further business, the regular meeting of the Minnehaha Creek Watershed District
464 Board of Managers was adjourned at 11:10 p.m.

465

466 Respectfully submitted,

467

468

469

470 Kurt Rogness, Secretary