MEMORANDUM

To: MCWD Board of Managers

From: Becky Christopher, Lead Planner and Project Manager

Date: June 6, 2016

Re: MS4 Annual Report and Public Hearing

Purpose:

At the June 9, 2016 Board Workshop, there will be a public hearing to provide an opportunity for public comment on the District's Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan (SWPPP). Staff is also requesting authorization to release the District's 2015 annual report to the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA).

Background:

The MCWD is regulated as a municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4) under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Phase II rules because the District is the drainage authority for eight public drainage systems.

The NPDES Phase II rules require submittal of an annual report to the MPCA on progress toward implementing the provisions of the District's SWPPP, including any proposed revisions. These reports are due by June 30 of each year.

The NPDES Phase II rules also require that a public hearing be held annually to provide an opportunity for public input on the District's SWPPP. This hearing will be held at the June 9, 2016 Board workshop. The hearing has been duly noticed in the Star Tribune and on the District website. Staff will provide a brief overview of the District's SWPPP prior to the hearing.

Attached is a Resolution for Board Action authorizing release of the 2015 annual report to the MPCA as well as a copy of the annual report and SWPPP.

If there are questions in advance of the meeting, please contact Becky Christopher at 952-641-4512 or bchristopher@minnehahacreek.org.

We collaborate with public and private partners to protect and improve land and water for current and future generations.

Minnehaha Creek Watershed District

REQUEST FOR BOARD ACTION

MEETING DATE	E: June 9, 2016								
TITLE: Authoriza	FITLE: Authorization to Release the 2015 Annual Report to the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency								
RESOLUTION N	IUMBER: 16-055								
PREPARED BY:	: Becky Christopher								
E-MAIL: bchristopher@minnehahacreek.org TELEPHONE: 952-641-4512									
REVIEWED BY: ☐ Administrator ☐ Coun ☐ Board Committee ☐ Engir			_ •	. (Name):					
WORKSHOP AC	CTION:								
☐ Advance to	Board mtg. Consent Age	enda.	☐ Adv	ance to Board me	eeting for discuss	sion prior to action.			
☐ Refer to a future workshop (date):			☐ Refer to taskforce or committee (date):						
☐ Return to staff for additional work.			☐ No further action requested.						
⊠ Other (speci	fy): Requesting action	at June 9	Worksh	ор	-				

PURPOSE or ACTION REQUESTED:

Authorization to release the 2015 Municipal Separate Stormsewer System (MS4) Annual Report to the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency

SUMMARY:

The Minnehaha Creek Watershed District is regulated as a municipal separate storm sewer system (MS4) under the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Phase II rules because the District is the drainage authority for eight public drainage systems. These drainage systems are county and judicial ditches generally established in the early 1900s. Authority for these public drainage systems was transferred to the District by resolutions from the commissioners of Hennepin and Carver counties.

The NPDES Phase II rules require submittal of an annual report to the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) on progress toward implementing the provisions of the Storm Water Pollution Prevention Program (SWPPP), including any proposed revisions. These reports are due by June 30 of each year.

The attached report has been prepared to satisfy the District's annual reporting requirements to the MPCA. Staff is requesting authorization to release the annual report to the MPCA.

RESOLUTION

RESOLUTION	N NUMBER: <u>16-055</u>
TITLE:	Authorization to Release the 2015 Annual Report to the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency
WHEREAS,	in light of its ownership and operation of a small municipal stormwater management facility, the Minnehaha Creek Watershed District (MCWD) has been designated a Municipal Separate Storm Sewer System (MS4) owner/operator, subject to permitting requirements under 33 United States Code section 1342; and
WHEREAS,	the National Pollutant Discharge Elimination System (NPDES) Phase II rules require an annual report submitted to the Minnesota Pollution Control Agency (MPCA) that describes the progress toward implementing the provisions of the District's Storm Water Pollution Prevention Program (SWPPP); and
WHEREAS,	the report is due by June 30 of each year;
NOW, THERE	FORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the MCWD Board of Managers accepts the 2015 MS4 Annual Report and authorizes its release to the MPCA.
Resolution Nu Motion to ado	mber 16-055 was moved by Manager, seconded by Manager pt the resolution ayes, nays,abstentions. Date:
Secretary	Date:
ocorotar y	

MS4 Annual Report for 2015

Reporting period: January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015

Due: June 30, 2016

Instructions: Complete this annual report to provide a summary of your activities under the 2013 MS4 Permit (Permit) between January 1, 2015 and December 31, 2015. MPCA staff may contact you for additional information.

Fillable document available at https://www.pca.state.mn.us/sites/default/files/wq-strm4-06a.doc (for personal use only, not for submittal).

Questions: Contact Cole Landgraf at 651-757-2880 or cole.landgraf@state.mn.us or Rachel Stangl at 651-757-2879 or rachel.stangl@state.mn.us.

MS4 General Contact Information

Full name	Becky Christopher
Title	Lead Planner & Project Manager
Mailing address	15320 Minnetonka Blvd
City	Minnetonka
State	Minnesota
Zip code	55345
Phone	952-641-4512
Email	bchristopher@minnehahacreek.org

Preparer Contact Information (if different from the MS4 General Contact)

Full name	
Title	
Organization	
Mailing address	
City	
State	
Zip code	
Phone	

Email

MCM 1: Public Education and Outreach

The following questions refer to Part III.D.1. of the Permit.

- Q2 Did you select a stormwater-related issue of high priority to be emphasized during this Permit term? [Part III.D.1.a.(1)]
 - Yes
 - No
- Q3 What is your stormwater-related issue(s)? Check all that apply.
 - ✓ TMDL(s)
 - Local businesses
 - Residential BMPs
 - ✓ Pet waste
 - Yard waste
 - Deicing materials
 - Household chemicals
 - Construction activities
 - Post-construction activities
 - Other
- Q4 Have you distributed educational materials or equivalent outreach to the public focused on illicit discharge recognition and reporting? [Part III.D.1.a.(2)]
 - Yes
 - No
- Q5 Do you have an implementation plan as required by the Permit? [Part III.D.1.b.]
 - Yes
 - No
- Q6 How did you distribute educational materials or equivalent outreach? Check all that apply and provide circulation/audience associated with each item. [Part III.D.1.a.]
 - Brochure
 - Newsletter
 - Utility bill insert
 - Newspaper ad
 - Radio ad
 - Television ad
 - Cable access channel
 - Stormwater-related event
 - School presentation or project
 - ✓ Website
 - ✓ Other (1)

Other (2)	
Other (3)	
Other (1), describe:	Social media
Other (2),	
describe:	Monthly column in local newspapers
Other (3), describe:	Submissions to city and neighborhood newsletters

Q7 Intended audience? Check all that apply.

Brochure	Residents	Local Businesses	Developers	Students	Employees	Other	
Newsletter		•	•		•		
Stormwater- related event				•			
School presentation or project				•			
Website	•		•				
Other (1)	•						
Other (2)	•						
Other (3)	✓						

Q8 Enter the total circulation/audience (if unknown, use best estimate):

Brochure	500
Newsletter	700
Stormwater- related event	5530
School	
presentation	500
or project	
Website	252209
Other (1)	278537
Other (2)	112397
Other (3)	100000

Provide a brief description of each activity related to public education and outreach (e.g. rain garden workshop, school presentation, public works open house) held and the date each activity was held from January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015. [Part III.D.1.c.(4)]

Q9Date of activity

Q10Description of activity

Date (mm/dd/yyyy) 07/26/2015

Minnehaha Creek Cleanup

(mm/dd/yyyy): Enter the number of citizens that attended and

0

were informed about your SWPPP:

- Q17 Between January 1, 2015 and December 31, 2015, did you receive any input regarding your SWPPP?
 - Yes
 - No
- Q19 Between January 1, 2015 and December 31, 2015, did you modify your BMPs, measurable goals, or future plans for your public participation/involvement program? [Part IV.B.]
 - Yes
 - No

Describe those modifications:

Posted the District's SWPPP on our website at: www.minnehahacreek.org/SWPPP

MCM 3: Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination

The following questions refer to Part III.D.3. of the Permit.

- Q20 As of December 31, 2015, have you enacted a regulatory mechanism which prohibits non-stormwater discharges to your MS4?
 - Yes
 - No
- Q21 Provide either a website address to the above regulatory mechanism or upload a copy. How will you provide this regulatory mechanism?
 - Website address
 - Upload
- Q22 Website address:

http://minnehahacreek.org/permits/regulatory-rules/illicit-discharge-rule

- Q24 Did you identify any illicit discharges between January 1, 2015 and December 31, 2015? [Part III.D.3.h.(4)]
 - Yes
 - No
- Q25 Enter the number of illicit discharges detected:

3

Q26 How did you discover these illicit discharges? Check all that apply and enter the number of illicit discharges discovered by each category.

	✓ Public complaint☐ Staff
Q27	Enter the number discovered by the public:
	3
Q29	Did any of the discovered illicit discharges result in an enforcement action (this includes verbal warnings)? O Yes O No
Q33	Do you have written Enforcement Response Procedures (ERPs) to compel compliance with your illicit discharge regulatory mechanism(s)? [Part III.B.] • Yes • No
Q34	Provide either a website address to the above ERPs or upload a copy. How will you provide these ERPs? ☐ Website address ☐ Upload
Q36	Click the "up arrow" icon below to upload a file. When it has uploaded successfully a unique ID will appear in the box. Only files less than 10 MB in size will upload.
	[rel:000000073:Q36
Q37	Did you train all field staff in illicit discharge recognition (including conditions which could cause illicit discharges) and reporting illicit discharges for further investigations? [Part III.D.3.e.] • Yes • No
Q38	How did you train your field staff? Check all that apply. □ Email □ PowerPoint ☑ Presentation □ Video ☑ Field Training □ Other
The f	following questions refer to Part III.C.1. of the Permit.
Q39	Did you update your storm sewer system map between January 1, 2015 and December 31, 2015? [Part III.C.1.]

YesNo

Q40	Does your storm sewer map include all pipes 12 inches or greater in diameter and the direction of stormwater flow in those pipes? [Part III.C.1.a.] • Yes • No
Q41	Does your storm sewer map include outfalls, including a unique identification (ID) number and an associated geographic coordinate? [Part III.C.1.b.] • Yes • No
Q42	Does your storm sewer map include all structural stormwater BMPs that are part of your MS4? [Part III.C.1.c.] • Yes • No
Q43	Does your storm sewer map include all receiving waters? [Part III.C.1.d.] ● Yes ● No
Q44	In what format is your storm sewer map available? Hardcopy only GIS CAD Other
Q45	Between January 1, 2015 and December 31, 2015, did you modify your BMPs, measurable goals, or future plans for your illicit discharge detection and elimination (IDDE) program? [Part IV.B.] Yes No
MCM	4: Construction Site Stormwater Runoff Control
The f	following questions refer to Part III.D.4. of the Permit.
Q46	As of December 31, 2015, have you enacted a regulatory mechanism that is at

- least as stringent as the Agency's general permit to Discharge Stormwater Associated with Construction Activity (CSW Permit) No. MN R100001 (http://www.pca.state.mn.us/index.php/view-document.html?gid=18984) for erosion and sediment controls and waste controls? [Part III.D.4.a.]
 - Yes
 - No
- Q47 Have you developed written procedures for site plan reviews as required by the

	Permit? [Part III.D.4.b.] • Yes • No
Q48	Have you documented each site plan review as required by the Permit? [Part III.D.4.f.] ● Yes ● No
Q49	Enter the number of site plan reviews conducted for sites an acre or greater of so disturbance between January 1, 2015 and December 31, 2015:
	45
Q50	What types of enforcement actions do you have available to compel compliance with your regulatory mechanism? Check all that apply and enter the number of each used from January 1, 2015 to December 31, 2015. Verbal warnings Notice of violation Administrative orders Stop-work orders Fines Forfeit of security of bond money Withholding of certificate of occupancy Criminal actions Civil penalties Other Enter the number of verbal warnings issued:
	Enter the number of notice of violations issued: Enter the number of administrative orders issued: Enter the number of forfeitures of security bond money issued:
Q51	Do you have written Enforcement Response Procedures (ERPs) to compel compliance with your construction site stormwater runoff control regulatory mechanism(s)? [Part III.B.] • Yes • No

Q52 Enter the number of active construction sites an acre or greater that were in your jurisdiction between January 1, 2015 and December 31, 2015:

69			

	Q53	Do y	ou have	written	procedures	for	identifying	priority	/ sites?	[Part III	.D.4.d.	(1))]
--	-----	------	---------	---------	------------	-----	-------------	----------	----------	-----------	---------	-----	----

- Yes
- No
- Q54 How are sites prioritized? Check all that apply.
 - Site topography
 - Soil characteristics
 - ✓ Types of receiving water(s)
 - Stage of construction
 - Compliance history
 - Weather conditions
 - Other
- Q55 Do you have a checklist or other written means to document site inspections when determining compliance? [Part III.D.4.d.(4)]
 - Yes
 - No
- Q56 Enter the number of site inspections conducted for sites an acre or greater between January 1, 2015 and December 31, 2015:

146

Q57 Enter the frequency at which site inspections are conducted (e.g. daily, weekly, monthly): [Part III.D.4.d.(2)]

Daily

Q58 Enter the number of trained inspectors that were available for construction site inspections between January 1, 2015 and December 31, 2015:

4

- Q59 Provide the contact information for the inspector(s) and/or organization that conducts construction stormwater inspections for your MS4. List your primary construction stormwater contact first if you have multiple inspectors.
 - (1) Inspector name
 Organization

Lauren Sampedro

Phone (Office)

952-641-4580

Phone (Work Cell)

Email

lsampedro@minnehahacreek.org

Preferred contact method

email

MCWD

(2) Inspector name

Terrence Chastan-Davis

Organization	MCWD
Phone (Office)	952-641-4581
Phone (Work Cell)	
Email	tchastan-davis@minnehahacreek.org
Preferred contact method	email
(3) Inspector name	Miriam Eason
Organization	MCWD
Phone (Office)	952-641-4586
Phone (Work Cell)	
Email	meason@minnehahacreek.org
Preferred contact method	email
	email

- Q60 What training did inspectors receive? Check all that apply.
 - University of Minnesota Erosion and Stormwater Management Certification Program
 - Qualified Compliance Inspector of Stormwater (QCIS)
 - Minnesota Laborers Training Center Stormwater Pollution Prevention Plan Installer or Supervisor
 - Minnesota Utility Contractors Association Erosion Control Training
 - Certified Professional in Erosion and Sediment Control (CPESC)
 - Certified Professional in Stormwater Quality (CPSWQ)
 - Certified Erosion, Sediment and Storm Water Inspector (CESSWI)
 - Other
- Q61 Between January 1, 2015 and December 31, 2015, did you modify your BMPs, measurable goals, or future plans for your construction site stormwater runoff control program? [Part IV.B.]
 - Yes
 - No

Describe those modifications:

Adopted rule-interpretive policy to ensure compliance with the revised MS4 Permit. Developed enforcement response procedures.

MCM 5: Post-Construction Stormwater Management

The following questions refer to Part III.D.5. of the Permit.

- Q62 As of December 31, 2015, have you enacted a regulatory mechanism to incorporate all requirements as specified in Part III.D.5.a. of the Permit?
 - Yes
 - No

Q63	What approach are you using to meet the performance standard for Volume, Total
	Suspended Solids (TSS), and Total Phosphorus (TP) as required by the Permit?
	[Part III.D.5.a.(2)]
	Check all that apply.

Refer to the link http://www.pca.state.mn.us/index.php/view-document.html?gid=17815 for guidance on stormwater management approaches.

	3 11
	Retain a runoff volume equal to one inch times the area of the proposed increase of impervious surfaces on-site
	 Retain the post-construction runoff volume on site for the 95th percentile storm Match the pre-development runoff conditions
	Adopt the Minimal Impact Design Standards (MIDS) An approach has not been selected
	Other method (Must be technically defensiblee.g. based on modeling, research and acceptable engineering practices)
Q64	Do you have written Enforcement Response Procedures (ERPs) to compel compliance with your post-construction stormwater management regulatory mechanism(s)? [Part III.B.]
	YesNo
Q65	Between January 1, 2015 and December 31, 2015, did you modify your BMPs, measurable goals, or future plans for your post-construction stormwater management program? [Part IV.B.]
	YesNo
	Describe those modifications:
	Adopted rule-interpretive policy to ensure compliance with the revised MS4 Permit. Developed enforcement response procedures.
MCM	6: Pollution Prevention/Good Housekeeping for Municipal Operations
The f	following questions refer to Part III.D.6. of the Permit.
Q66	Enter the total number of structural stormwater BMPs, outfalls (excluding underground outfalls), and ponds within your MS4 (exclude privately owned).

Outfalls 2
Ponds 2

Q67 Enter the number of structural stormwater BMPs, outfalls (excluding underground outfalls), and ponds that were inspected from January 1, 2015 to December 31,

Structural stormwater

BMPs

0

S

	2015 within y Structural stormwater BMPs Outfalls	your MS4 (exclude privately owned). [Part III.D.6.e.]
	Ponds	2
Q68	•	eveloped an alternative inspection frequency for any structural BMPs, as allowed in Part III.D.6.e.(1) of the Permit?
Q69		spection findings, did you conduct any maintenance on any structural BMPs? [Part III.D.6.e.(1)]
Q71	•	or operate any stockpiles, and/or storage and material handling III.D.6.e.(3)]
Q75	measurable	nuary 1, 2015 and December 31, 2015, did you modify your BMPs, goals, or future plans for your pollution prevention/good housekeepin I operations program? [Part IV.B.]
Partr	nerships	
Q84	Did you rely requirements Yes No	on any other regulated MS4s to satisfy one or more Permit s?
Add	itional Info	ormation

If you would like to provide any additional files to accompany your annual report, use the space below to upload those files. For each space, you may attach one file. You may provide additional explanation and/or information in an email with the subject YourMS4NameHere 2015AR to ms4permitprogram.pca@state.mn.us.

Q86 Click the "up arrow" icon below to upload a file. When it has uploaded successfully, a unique ID will appear in the box. Only files less than 10 MB in size will upload.

	<u></u>
Q87	Click the "up arrow" icon below to upload a file. When it has uploaded successfully, a unique ID will appear in the box. Only files less than 10 MB in size will upload.
Q88	Click the "up arrow" icon below to upload a file. When it has uploaded successfully, a unique ID will appear in the box. Only files less than 10 MB in size will upload.
Q89	Optional, describe the file(s) uploaded:

Owner or Operator Certification

The person with overall administrative responsibility for SWPPP implementation and Permit compliance must certify this MS4 Annual Report. This person must be duly authorized and should be either a principal executive (i.e., Director of Public Works, City Administrator) or ranking elected official (i.e., Mayor, Township Supervisor).

I certify under penalty of law that this document and all attachments were prepared under my direction or supervision in accordance with a system designed to assure that qualified personnel properly gathered and evaluated the information submitted. Based on my inquiry of the person or persons who manage the system, or those persons directly responsible for gathering the information, the information submitted is, to the best of my knowledge and belief, true, accurate, and complete (Minn. R. 7001.0070). I am aware that there are significant penalties for submitting false information, including the possibility of fine and imprisonment (Minn. R. 7001.0540).

Yes

By typing my name in the following box, I certify the above statements to be true and correct, to the best of my knowledge, and that information can be used for the

purpose of p	processing my MS4 Annual Report.
Name:	
Title:	
Date: (mm/dd/yyyy)	

When you are ready to submit, you must click the 'Submit' button at the bottom of this page.

Provide the email(s) of the individual(s) you would like to receive the MS4 Annual Report for 2015 submittal confirmation email from the MPCA. After you click the Submit button below, please allow up to three business days to receive this email.

Email	
(1)	
Email (2)	
Email (3)	

Print or save a copy of your completed MS4 Annual Report for 2015 for your records. The MPCA will email a PDF of your MS4 Annual Report for 2015 information in a confirmation email within three business days after you submit this form to the email(s) you provided above.

You may print a copy of the MS4 Annual Report for 2015 for your records by pressing the 'Print' button at the bottom of the page.

Additionally, it is possible to save a PDF copy of the MS4 Annual Report for 2015 if you are working on a computer with OneNote (a program often included in Microsoft Office packages). Detailed saving instructions are available at stormwater.pca.state.mn.us/index.php/Guidance_for_saving_MS4_annual_reports.

If you have any questions, contact MPCA staff Cole Landgraf (cole.landgraf@state.mn.us, 651-757-2880) or Rachel Stangl (rachel.stangl@state.mn.us, 651-757-2879).

Stormwater Pollution Prevention Program Document

I.

II.

Pa	rtnerships: (Part II.D.1)	
A.		tablished a partnership in order to satisfy one or more htrol Measure (MCM) requirements or other program List all that apply). Check the box below if you currently have no bu have more than five partnerships, hit the tab key after the last
	☐ No partnerships with regulated small MS4s	
	Name and description of partnership	MCM/Other permit requirements involved
	NEMO outreach & technical advisory groups with the Cities of Deephaven, Edina, Excelsior, Golden Valley, Hopkins, Greenwood, Independence, Long Lake, Maple Plain, Medina, Minneapolis, Minnetonka, Minnetonka Beach, Minnetrista, Mound, Orono, Plymouth, Richfield, St. Bonifacius, St. Louis Park, Shorewood, Spring Park, Tonka Bay, Wayzata, Woodland, Victoria, Laketown Township, Hennepin & Carver Counties	MCM 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6
B.	MS4(s), provide it in the space below, or include an attack convention: MS4NameHere_Partnerships. Though the District's education, outreach, public involver erosion control programs stand alone in terms of water repermit, the District also maintains partnerships with the Tipartnerships assist all MS4 Permit Holders within the Districts legal boundary does not coincide with its MS4 b District's ongoing support of NEMO as well as our education.	ommunicate about your partnerships with other regulated small hment to the SWPPP Document, with the following file naming ment, IDDE, stormwater management and construction site esource protection and achieving the requirements of this fownship, City and County MS4s within its boundaries. These trict boundaries to meet permit requirements (note that the boundary). The primary mechanism for this coordination is the tion, outreach and public involvement programs. The District
	detection, implementation of capital projects to achieve 1	ipal and county stakeholders on rule revisions, illicit discharge MDL load reduction goals, and more.
De	escription of Regulatory Mechanisms: (Par	t II.D.2)
IIIi	cit discharges	
A.	Do you have a regulatory mechanism(s) that effectively pexcept those non-stormwater discharges authorized und	orohibits non-stormwater discharges into your small MS4, er the Permit (Part III.D.3.b.)? Yes No
	1. If yes:	,
	a. Check which <i>type</i> of regulatory mechanism(s) y ☐ Ordinance ☐ Contract lang ☐ Policy/Standards ☐ Permits ☐ Rules	
	Other, explain: In November 2013, to contaminants into judicine.	the District adopted an IDDE Rule to prevent discharge of dicial ditches the District operates. In addition, the District ogram of lake and stream monitoring, which aids in illicit
	b. Provide either a direct link to the mechanism se	lected above or attach it as an electronic document to this in Ordinance or a Rule, you may provide a citation:
	Citation:	e.aand of a reals, you may provide a ortation.

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-strm4-49a • 5/31/13 Page 3 of 16

			Direct link:		
			http://www.minnehahacreek.org/permits/regulatory-rules/illicit-discharge-rule		
			☐ Check here if attaching an electronic copy of your regulatory mechanism, with the followin convention: <i>MS4NameHere_IDDEreg</i> .	ng file nam	ning
	2.	lf n	0:		
			scribe the tasks and corresponding schedules that will be taken to assure that, within 12 mont mit coverage is extended, this permit requirement is met:	hs of the o	late
Co	nstr	ucti	on site stormwater runoff control		
A.		you itrols	have a regulatory mechanism(s) that establishes requirements for erosion and sediment cont ? 🛮 Yes 🔲 No	rols and w	aste
	1.	lf y	es:		
		a.	Check which <i>type</i> of regulatory mechanism(s) your organization has (check all that apply): Ordinance Contract language Policy/Standards Permits Rules Other, explain:		
		b.	Provide either a direct link to the mechanism selected above or attach it as an electronic doc form; or if your regulatory mechanism is either an Ordinance or a Rule, you may provide a ci		this
			Citation:		
			Direct link:		
			http://www.minnehahacreek.org/permits/full-mcwd-rules/erosion-control-rule		
			☐ Check here if attaching an electronic copy of your regulatory mechanism, with the followin convention: <i>MS4NameHere_CSWreg</i> .	ng file nam	ning
В.			regulatory mechanism at least as stringent as the MPCA general permit to Discharge Stormwanstruction Activity (as of the effective date of the MS4 Permit)? □Yes ☑ No	ater Assoc	ciated
	If yo	ou a	nswered yes to the above question, proceed to C.		
	sch	edu	nswered no to either of the above permit requirements listed in A. or B., describe the tasks an es that will be taken to assure that, within 12 months of the date permit coverage is extended nents are met:		
			trict will undertake a rule revision process to ensure its rules are consistent with the permit. The permit oleted within one year of permit coverage.	ne rule rev	ision will
C.	acti	vity	yes or no to indicate whether your regulatory mechanism(s) requires owners and operators of develop site plans that incorporate the following erosion and sediment controls and waste ded in the Permit (Part III.D.4.a.(1)-(8)), and as listed below:		
	1.	Bes	st Management Practices (BMPs) to minimize erosion.		☐ No
	2.	ВМ	Ps to minimize the discharge of sediment and other pollutants.		☐ No
	3.	ВМ	Ps for dewatering activities.		☐ No
	4.	Site	inspections and records of rainfall events		☐ No
	5.	ВМ	P maintenance		□No
	6.	Ма	nagement of solid and hazardous wastes on each project site.	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	7.		al stabilization upon the completion of construction activity, including the use of perennial etative cover on all exposed soils or other equivalent means.	⊠ Yes	□No
	8.	Crit	eria for the use of temporary sediment basins.	☐ Yes	⊠ No

If you answered **no** to any of the above permit requirements, describe the tasks and corresponding schedules that will be taken to assure that, within 12 months of the date permit coverage is extended, these permit requirements are met:

The District will undertake a rule revision process to ensure its rules are consistent with the permit. The rule revision will

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-strm4-49a • 5/31/13 Page 4 of 16

Post-construction stormwater management

Α.			have a		s) to address post-construction stormwater management activitie	s?
	1.	lf y	es:			
		a.	Che	ck which <i>type</i> of regulator Ordinance Policy/Standards Rules Other, explain:	y mechanism(s) your organization has (check all that apply): Contract language Permits	
		b.		; or if your regulatory med	the mechanism selected above or attach it as an electronic docu chanism is either an Ordinance or a Rule, you may provide a cita	
			Direc	et link:		
			http:/	//www.minnehahacreek.o	rg/permits/full-mcwd-rules/stormwater-management-rule	
				heck here if attaching an onvention: MS4NameHer	electronic copy of your regulatory mechanism, with the following e_PostCSWreg.	file naming
В.				r no below to indicate wh as described in the Perm	ether you have a regulatory mechanism(s) in place that meets thit (Part III.D.5.a.):	ne following
	1.	sit	e plan		that owners and/or operators of construction activity submit stormwater management BMPs to the permittee for review and ction activity.	⊠ Yes □ No
	2.	co pra foi	mbina actice: estry,	ition of BMPs, with highes s (e.g., infiltration, evapot green roofs, etc.), neces	on stormwater management: Requires the use of any st preference given to Green Infrastructure techniques and ranspiration, reuse/harvesting, conservation design, urban sary to meet the following conditions on the site of a um Extent Practicable (MEP):	
		a.		new development projectrage basis) of:	ts – no net increase from pre-project conditions (on an annual	☐ Yes ⊠ No
			2)	limitations in the Permit Stormwater discharges	olume, unless precluded by the stormwater management (Part III.D.5.a(3)(a)). of Total Suspended Solids (TSS). of Total Phosphorus (TP).	
		b.		redevelopment projects - rage basis) of:	- a net reduction from pre-project conditions (on an annual	☐ Yes ⊠ No
			2)	Stormwater discharge vollimitations in the Permit Stormwater discharges of Stormwater discharges	of TSS.	
	3.	St	ormw	ater management limita	itions and exceptions:	
		a.	Limit	ations		
			•	stormwater management	ion techniques to achieve the conditions for post-construction in the Permit (Part III.D.5.a(2)) when the infiltration structural ive discharges from, or be constructed in areas:	☐ Yes ☐ No
				 an NPDES/SDS Indo b) Where vehicle fueling c) With less than three infiltration system to bedrock. 	lities are not authorized to infiltrate industrial stormwater under ustrial Stormwater Permit issued by the MPCA. g and maintenance occur. (3) feet of separation distance from the bottom of the the elevation of the seasonally saturated soils or the top of contaminants in soil or groundwater will be mobilized by the	
				infiltrating stormwate		

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-strm4-49a • 5/31/13 Page 5 of 16

		2)	stor revi	trict the use of infiltration techniques to achieve the conditions for post-construction mwater management in the Permit (Part III.D.5.a(2)), without higher engineering ew, sufficient to provide a functioning treatment system and prevent adverse acts to groundwater, when the infiltration device will be constructed in areas:	☐ Yes	⊠ No
			b)	With predominately Hydrologic Soil Group D (clay) soils. Within 1,000 feet up-gradient, or 100 feet down-gradient of active karst features. Within a Drinking Water Supply Management Area (DWSMA) as defined in Minn. R. 4720.5100, subp. 13. Where soil infiltration rates are more than 8.3 inches per hour.		
		3)	For con in the excense med	linear projects where the lack of right-of-way precludes the installation of volume trol practices that meet the conditions for post-construction stormwater management be Permit (Part III.D.5.a(2)), the permittee's regulatory mechanism(s) may allow eptions as described in the Permit (Part III.D.5.a(3)(b)). The permittee's regulatory chanism(s) shall ensure that a reasonable attempt be made to obtain right-of-waying the project planning process.	⊠ Yes	□No
4.	stor acti	mwa vity a	iter d	rovisions: The permittee's regulatory mechanism(s) shall ensure that any lischarges of TSS and/or TP not addressed on the site of the original construction ddressed through mitigation and, at a minimum, shall ensure the following are met:		
	a.		-	n project areas are selected in the following order of preference:		□No
		•	orig	ations that yield benefits to the same receiving water that receives runoff from the inal construction activity.		
		2)		ations within the same Minnesota Department of Natural Resource (DNR) chment area as the original construction activity.		
		3)	Loc	ations in the next adjacent DNR catchment area up-stream		
				ations anywhere within the permittee's jurisdiction.		
	b.	retro	ofit o	n projects must involve the creation of new structural stormwater BMPs or the f existing structural stormwater BMPs, or the use of a properly designed regional al stormwater BMP.		□No
	C.			maintenance of structural stormwater BMPs already required by this permit cannot to meet mitigation requirements of this part.	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	d.			n projects shall be completed within 24 months after the start of the original stion activity.	⊠ Yes	□No
	e.			mittee shall determine, and document, who will be responsible for long-term ance on all mitigation projects of this part.	⊠ Yes	□No
	f.	for i the peri	mitig cond mitte	rmittee receives payment from the owner and/or operator of a construction activity ation purposes in lieu of the owner or operator of that construction activity meeting litions for post-construction stormwater management in Part III.D.5.a(2), the e shall apply any such payment received to a public stormwater project, and all must be in compliance with Part III.D.5.a(4)(a)-(e).	⊠ Yes	□No
5.	med and BMI con only that	chan l own Ps no dition / incl	ism(s iers o ot ow ns fo udes direc	naintenance of structural stormwater BMPs: The permittee's regulatory is shall provide for the establishment of legal mechanisms between the permittee or operators responsible for the long-term maintenance of structural stormwater and or operated by the permittee, that have been implemented to meet the prost-construction stormwater management in the Permit (Part III.D.5.a(2)). This is structural stormwater BMPs constructed after the effective date of this permit and ottly connected to the permittee's MS4, and that are in the permittee's jurisdiction.		
	a.	ope stru	rated ctura	e permittee to conduct inspections of structural stormwater BMPs not owned or d by the permittee, perform necessary maintenance, and assess costs for those all stormwater BMPs when the permittee determines that the owner and/or operator tructural stormwater BMP has not conducted maintenance.	⊠ Yes	□No
	b.	resp	oons	conditions that are designed to preserve the permittee's right to ensure maintenance ibility, for structural stormwater BMPs not owned or operated by the permittee, when sponsibilities are legally transferred to another party.	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	C.	site con stor imp	feati figur mwa leme	conditions that are designed to protect/preserve structural stormwater BMPs and ures that are implemented to comply with the Permit (Part III.D.5.a(2)). If site ations or structural stormwater BMPs change, causing decreased structural ter BMP effectiveness, new or improved structural stormwater BMPs must be ented to ensure the conditions for post-construction stormwater management in the Part III.D.5.a(2)) continue to be met.	⊠ Yes	□No

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-strm4-49a • 5/31/13 Page 6 of 16

If you answered **no** to any of the above permit requirements, describe the tasks and corresponding schedules that will be taken to assure that, within twelve (12) months of the date permit coverage is extended, these permit requirements are met:

For requirements 2.a. and b. above, the District's rule does not match the MS4 Permit exactly, but it may be considered equally protective. The District will compare the specific terms of the District rule with those of the MS4 Permit and confer with the MPCA to evaluate equivalence. For any areas where the District rule is determined to be less protective, the District will undertake a rule revision process to ensure its rules are consistent with the permit. The rule revision will be completed within one year of permit coverage.

III. Enforcement Response Procedures (ERPs): (Part II.

IV.

A.	Do you have existing ERPs that satisfy the requirements of the Permit (Part III.B.)?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	1. If yes , attach them to this form as an electronic document, with the following file naming convention: <i>MS4NameHere_ERPs</i> .		
	2. If no , describe the tasks and corresponding schedules that will be taken to assure that, with twelve (12) months of the date permit coverage is extended, these permit requirements are met:		
	District staff will prepare ERPs and sumbit them to the MPCA within one year of permit coverage. Draft procedures will be reviewed by the Rules Committee and if necessary the full Board of Managers.		
B.	Describe your ERPs:		
St	orm Sewer System Map and Inventory: (Part II.D.4.)		
A.	Describe how you manage your storm sewer system map and inventory:		
	The District maintains a GIS based map of the MS4 that was initially created in 2003. The District coo adjacent MS4s to update information as it becomes available.	rdinates w	<i>ith</i>
B.	Answer yes or no to indicate whether your storm sewer system map addresses the following requirem Permit (Part III.C.1.a-d), as listed below:	ents from	the
	 The permittee's entire small MS4 as a goal, but at a minimum, all pipes 12 inches or greater in diameter, including stormwater flow direction in those pipes. 	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	Outfalls, including a unique identification (ID) number assigned by the permittee, and an associated geographic coordinate.	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	3. Structural stormwater BMPs that are part of the permittee's small MS4.	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	4. All receiving waters.		☐ No
	If you answered no to any of the above permit requirements, describe the tasks and corresponding so be taken to assure that, within 12 months of the date permit coverage is extended, these permit require		
	The District's current GIS coverage will be updated to ensure all outfalls are assigned a unique ID, and stormwater BMPs within the MS4 will be included. The map will be completed and submitted to the M year of permit coverage.		
C.	Answer yes or no to indicate whether you have completed the requirements of 2009 Minnesota Sessic Sec. 28: with the following inventories, according to the specifications of the Permit (Part III.C.2.ab.),		
	 All ponds within the permittee's jurisdiction that are constructed and operated for purposes of water quality treatment, stormwater detention, and flood control, and that are used for the collection of stormwater via constructed conveyances. 	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	2. All wetlands and lakes, within the permittee's jurisdiction, that collect stormwater via constructed conveyances.		☐ No
D.	Answer yes or no to indicate whether you have completed the following information for each feature in	ventoried	
	1. A unique identification (ID) number assigned by the permittee.	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	2. A geographic coordinate.	⊠ Yes	☐ No
	3. Type of feature (e.g., pond, wetland, or lake). This may be determined by using best professional judgment.		☐ No

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-strm4-49a • 5/31/13 Page 7 of 16

If you have answered yes to all above requirements, and you have already submitted the Pond Inventory Form to the MPCA, then you do not need to resubmit the inventory form below.

If you answered **no** to any of the above permit requirements, describe the tasks and corresponding schedules that will be taken to assure that, within 12 months of the date permit coverage is extended, these permit requirements are met:

The District maintains an inventory of this information but will provide it in the MPCA desired format with the unique ID within one year of permit coverage.

E. Answer **yes** or **no** to indicate if you are attaching your pond, wetland and lake inventory to the MPCA ☐ Yes ☒ No on the form provided on the MPCA website at: http://www.pca.state.mn.us/ms4, according to the specifications of Permit (Part III.C.2.b.(1)-(3)). Attach with the following file naming convention: MS4NameHere inventory.

If you answered no, the inventory form must be submitted to the MPCA MS4 Permit Program within 12 months of the date permit coverage is extended.

V. Minimum Control Measures (MCMs) (Part II.D.5)

A. MCM1: Public education and outreach

The Permit requires that, within 12 months of the date permit coverage is extended, existing permittees revise their education and outreach program that focuses on illicit discharge recognition and reporting, as well as other specifically selected stormwater-related issue(s) of high priority to the permittee during this permit term. Describe your current educational program, including any high-priority topics included:

MCWD developed a strategic plan for communications in 2003 aimed at image recognition and public education concerning water resources management. Broad outcomes of the plan include increasing public awareness about recreational issues, generating public support for MCWD programs, and increasing knowledge about efforts to manage water quantity to reduce flooding and maintain water levels and flow. The current education program includes efforts to inform the public and District staff about each of the MCMs. The District's Educational and Communications departments prepare plans annually to reflect evolving needs of the District.

List the categories of BMPs that address your public education and outreach program, including the distribution of educational materials and a program implementation plan. Use the first table for categories of BMPs that you have established and the second table for categories of BMPs that you plan to implement over the course of the permit term.

Include the measurable goals with appropriate timeframes that each BMP category will be implemented and completed. In addition, provide interim milestones and the frequency of action in which the permittee will implement and/or maintain the BMPs. Refer to the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA) Measurable Goals Guidance for Phase II Small MS4s (http://www.epa.gov/npdes/pubs/measurablegoals.pdf).

If you have more than five categories, hit the tab key after the last line to generate a new row.

Established BMP categories	Measurable goals and timeframes
Media relations	Track number of media encounters, maintain base program and respond to other issues as they arise. Track and report progress annually, adjust program plan annually.
Publications	Track quantity and venue for publications, maintain base program and respond to other issues as they arise. Track and report progress annually, adjust program plan annually.
Website	Track website hits and MCM1-related postings, maintain base program and respond to other issues as they arise. Track and report progress annually, adjust program plan annually.
E-Updates/Social Media	Track number of E-updates and social media alerts sent annually; as well as quantity of "friends" and followers to utilized social media outlets. Evaluate progress and efficacy versus cost annually and adjust program as necessary.
Events	Track number of events sponsored annually, and quantity of attendants. Assess efficacy in terms of outreach versus cost of events and adjust program annually to capture broadest audience.
Sponsorships and Endorsements	Track number of sponsorships/ endorsements annually.
Workshops and Training (for example, University of Minnesota Stormwater U Program, Metro Blooms)	Track number of workshops and training events hosted, and attendees. Track topics covered. Evaluate cost/ and benefit of

www.pca.state.mn.us 651-296-6300 800-657-3864 TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 Available in alternative formats wq-strm4-49a • 5/31/13

	outreach and adjust annually.
BMP categories to be implemented	Measurable goals and timeframes
Evaluate topics covered, and ensure topics include IDDE education and other high priority topics.	The MS4's Communication and Education Departments update their operation plans annually in late summer; at the time of these updates, program directors will evaluate changes needed in their programs to meet IDDE and other MS4 requirements of this permit.
Evaluate the need to expand public education and outreach programs based on newly published TMDLs.	Evaluate these as TMDLs are published, amend plans annually.

بالمنتصف فمنالهم الصماما والمصافية

3. Provide the name or the position title of the individual(s) who is responsible for implementing and/or coordinating this MCM:

Darren Lochner, Education Manager

Telly Mamayek, Communications Director

B. MCM2: Public participation and involvement

1. The Permit (Part III.D.2.a.) requires that, within 12 months of the date permit coverage is extended, existing permittees shall revise their current program, as necessary, and continue to implement a public participation/involvement program to solicit public input on the SWPPP. Describe your current program:

The District conducts an annual meeting to discuss the SWPPP and receive public input. In addition, the District awards watershed stewardship grants, works with Citizen and Technical Advisory Committees, and holds regular Board meetings open to the public.

List the categories of BMPs that address your public participation/involvement program, including solicitation and documentation of public input on the SWPPP. Use the first table for categories of BMPs that you have established and the second table for categories of BMPs that you plan to implement over the course of the permit term.

Include the measurable goals with appropriate timeframes that each BMP category will be implemented and completed. In addition, provide interim milestones and the frequency of action in which the permittee will implement and/or maintain the BMPs. Refer to the EPA's *Measurable Goals Guidance for Phase II Small MS4s* (http://www.epa.gov/npdes/pubs/measurablegoals.pdf). If you have more than five categories, hit the tab key after the last line to generate a new row.

Established BMP categories	Measurable goals and timeframes
Annual meeting	Hold annual meeting in late summer with required public notice and track number of attendees and comments received. Report annually.
Cynthia Krieg Watershed Stewardship Grants	Grants are provided annually to groups proposing projects and initiatives that protect water quality and promote public awareness and public involvement in non-point source pollution abatement.
Citizen Advisory Committee	Hold Citizen Advisory Committee meetings once monthly with appropriate public notice. Recruit and appoint members annually.
Regular MCWD Board Meetings (open to public)	Hold board meetings twice monthly with appropriate/ required public notice.
NEMO (Non-point source Education for Municipal Officials)	Continue to provide support and assistance to NEMO annually. Track number of presentations, tours and events given annually and attendees/ circulation.
Technical Advisory Committees	Convene Technical Advisory Committees as needed to discuss rule revisions, individual projects or policies as needed. Track and report progress annually.
Post annual MS4 report on line	Post annual report on line and track web site hits.
BMP categories to be implemented	Measurable goals and timeframes
Post MCWD's SWPPP	Post the SWPPP on line and track hits.

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-strm4-49a • 5/31/13 Page 9 of 16

		1			
-					
3.	Do y	ou have a process for receiving and documenting citi	izen input? ⊠ Yes □ No		
		u answered no to the above permit requirement, desc ire that, within 12 months of the date permit coverage		t will be ta	aken to
4.	Prov MCN	ride the name or the position title of the individual(s) w //:	who is responsible for implementing and/or coord	inating th	is
	Darı	en Lochner, Education Manager			
	Telly	Mamayek, Communications Director			
C.	MCI	VI 3: Illicit discharge detection and elimination	on		
1.	their	Permit (Part III.D.3.) requires that, within 12 months of current program as necessary, and continue to implen narges into the small MS4. Describe your current progr	nent and enforce a program to detect and eliminat		evise
	Nov the	WD is the regulated NPDES, Phase II MS4 for eight permber 2013, the District adopted an IDDE Rule to preDistrict operates. The District also conducts a robust parm monitoring, which aid in illicit discharge detection.	event discharge of contaminants into any of the ju	ıdicial dite	ches
2.		s your Illicit Discharge Detection and Elimination Prog t III.D.3.cg.)?	gram meet the following requirements, as found in	n the Per	mit
	a.	Incorporation of illicit discharge detection into all inspunder the Permit (Part III.D.6.ef.)Where feasible, ill during dry-weather conditions (e.g., periods of 72 or	icit discharge inspections shall be conducted	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	b.	Detecting and tracking the source of illicit discharges also include use of mobile cameras, collecting and a procedures that may be effective investigative tools.	nalyzing water samples, and/or other detailed	Yes	☐ No
	C.	Training of all field staff, in accordance with the requilicit discharge recognition (including conditions which reporting illicit discharges for further investigation.		☐ Yes	⊠ No
	d.	Identification of priority areas likely to have illicit disc land use associated with business/industrial activitie identified in the past, and areas with storage of large result in an illicit discharge.	s, areas where illicit discharges have been	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	e.	Procedures for the timely response to known, suspec	ted, and reported illicit discharges.	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	f.	Procedures for investigating, locating, and eliminating	the source of illicit discharges.	☐ Yes	
	g.	Procedures for responding to spills, including emerge entering the small MS4. The procedures shall also inc Minnesota Department of Public Safety Duty Officer, leak as defined in Minn. Stat. § 115.061.	clude the immediate notification of the	⊠ Yes	□ No
	h.	When the source of the illicit discharge is found, the p Permit (Part III.B.) to eliminate the illicit discharge and		☐ Yes	⊠ No
		u answered no to any of the above permit requiremer n to assure that, within 12 months of the date permit o			
	The	District will update its proceedures for IDDE for comp	liance with the permit within one year of coverag	e.	
3.	cate	the categories of BMPs that address your illicit discha gories of BMPs that you have established and the sec the course of the permit term			

Include the measurable goals with appropriate timeframes that each BMP category will be implemented and completed. In addition, provide interim milestones and the frequency of action in which the permittee will implement and/or maintain the BMPs. Refer to the EPA's *Measurable Goals Guidance for Phase II Small MS4s* (http://www.epa.gov/npdes/pubs/measurablegoals.pdf).

If you have more than five categories, hit the tab key after the last line to generate a new row.

www.pca.state.mn.us TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats 651-296-6300 800-657-3864 wq-strm4-49a • 5/31/13 Page 10 of 16

-	Established BMP categories	Measurable goals and timeframes			
	Maintain maps of ditch system, including wetlands, lakes, other relevant features GIS map data updated as data becomes available.				
-	Conduct annual hydrologic data monitoring program, re results annually. Staff monitors various sites within MC weekly to monthly, watching for IDDE during each site value of detections.		ogram, report vithin MCWD		
	MCWD periodically conducts stream assessments an restorations on its MS4 conveyances. Track stream assessments and restorations completed, track illicit discharges detected. The District's SWPPP is reviewed annually, along with		tream k illicit ong with local		
-	Review of SWPPP and local water plans	water plans as they are updated to identify oppenhance IDDE.	oortunities to		
_	Regulatory inspections	The District conducts periodic inspections through program of construction sites and BMPs. These help district staff spot illicit discharges. The Diannually the number of inspections conducted.	se inspections strict tracks		
_	Maintenance inspections	District staff inspects District-owned projects in with the Operations and Maintenance Plan.	accordance		
	BMP categories to be implemented	Measurable goals and timeframes			
	Implement IDDE tracking on all field inspection sheets	Add IDDE inspection information to electronic and maintain in an automatically updated datal year of permit coverage.			
-	Implement procedures to address Illicit Discharges	Address illicit discharges as identified, with pro operational within one year of permit coverage			
-					
4.	Do you have procedures for record-keeping within your II specified within the Permit (Part III.D.3.h.)?		program as		
	If you answered no , indicate how you will develop proced Elimination Program, within 12 months of the date permit		Detection and		
	IDDE inspection information will be added to each electronic field inspection and monitoring form. IDDE inspection results will be maintained in an automatically updated database and routed to the responsible person who will respond to IDDE per the procedures identified. This is in progress now and will be completed and implemented within 12 months of the permit coverage.				
5.	Provide the name or the position title of the individual(s) MCM:	who is responsible for implementing and/or coor	dinating this		
	Katherine Sylvia, Permitting Program Lead				
D.	MCM 4: Construction site stormwater runoff co	ntrol			
1.	The Permit (Part III.D.4) requires that, within 12 months of the date permit coverage is extended, existing permittees shall revise their current program, as necessary, and continue to implement and enforce a construction site stormwater runoff control program. Describe your current program:				
	The District dedicates one full-time compliance officer to coordinate an enforcement program aimed at controlling construction site erosion. Two additional full-time District Representatives focus on permit review and construction site inspection. The enforcement program aims to control construction site erosion and enforce other rules that require a District permit.				
2.	Does your program address the following BMPs for cons the Permit (Part III.D.4.b.):	truction stormwater erosion and sediment contro	ol as required in		
	 Have you established written procedures for site plan construction activity? 	n reviews that you conduct prior to the start of	⊠ Yes □ No		
	 Does the site plan review procedure include notificat construction activity that they need to apply for and of permit to Discharge Stormwater Associated with Cor 	obtain coverage under the MPCA's general	☐ Yes ⊠ No		
	c. Does your program include written procedures for re	·	☐ Yes ⊠ No		

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-strm4-49a • 5/31/13 Page 11 of 16

	noncompliance or other stormwater related information on construction activity submitted by the public to the permittee?			
d.	Have you included written procedures for the following aspects of site inspections to determine compliance with your regulatory mechanism(s):			
	1)	Does your program include procedures for ident	ifying priority sites for inspection?	⊠ Yes □ No
	2)	Does your program identify a frequency at which inspections?	n you will conduct construction site	☐ Yes ⊠ No
	3)	Does your program identify the names of individed conducting construction site inspections?	ual(s) or position titles of those responsible for	⊠ Yes □ No
	4)	Does your program include a checklist or other inspections when determining compliance?	written means to document construction site	⊠ Yes □ No
e.		es your program document and retain constructio urbed, and owner/operator information?	n project name, location, total acreage to be	⊠ Yes □ No
f.		es your program document stormwater-related co ermine project approval or denial?	mments and/or supporting information used to	⊠ Yes □ No
_	doc	es your program retain construction site inspectio ument site inspections?		⊠ Yes □ No
		nswered no to any of the above permit requirement assure that, within 12 months of the date permit		
nec	essa	ulatory department staff will review existing proce ary elements to achieve permit compliance. They ided to the MPCA within that timeframe. Docume	vwill do so within one year of permit coverage. F	Procedures will
tabl	e for	categories of BMPs that address your construction categories of BMPs that you have established a ment over the course of the permit term.		
com and (<u>htt</u>	plet or n	the measurable goals with appropriate timeframe ed. In addition, provide interim milestones and the naintain the BMPs. Refer to the EPA's <i>Measurableww.epa.gov/npdes/pubs/measurablegoals.pdf</i>). It is also line to generate a new row.	e frequency of action in which the permittee will i le Goals Guidance for Phase II Small MS4s	implement
Est	ablis	shed BMP categories	Measurable goals and timeframes	
Pub	lish	information on requirements for District permits	Continue to publish information on the requiren	
Ero			permits on line. Ongoing.	nents of District
	sion	control rule and permitting	Continue to enforce erosion control rule for dev the District. Ongoing. Number of permits proc granted is tracked annually.	velopment within
Cor		control rule and permitting t inspections to ensure permit compliance	Continue to enforce erosion control rule for devithe District. Ongoing. Number of permits processing the control rule for device the District.	velopment within essed and ime is available.
	duc	-	Continue to enforce erosion control rule for development the District. Ongoing. Number of permits proof granted is tracked annually. Inspect construction sites periodically as staff ti	velopment within essed and ime is available.
ВМ	duc P ca	t inspections to ensure permit compliance	Continue to enforce erosion control rule for deventhe District. Ongoing. Number of permits procegranted is tracked annually. Inspect construction sites periodically as staff to On-going. Results are tracked and reported an example goals and timeframes Staff to document and implement procedures for permit reviews and inspections within one year coverage. Provide procedures to MPCA within permit coverage, document progress on implement.	velopment within essed and ime is available. Innually.
ВМ	duc P ca	t inspections to ensure permit compliance	Continue to enforce erosion control rule for development the District. Ongoing. Number of permits process granted is tracked annually. Inspect construction sites periodically as staff to On-going. Results are tracked and reported an experiod staff to document and implement procedures for permit reviews and inspections within one year coverage. Provide procedures to MPCA within	velopment within essed and ime is available. Innually.
ВМ	duc P ca	t inspections to ensure permit compliance	Continue to enforce erosion control rule for deventhe District. Ongoing. Number of permits procegranted is tracked annually. Inspect construction sites periodically as staff to On-going. Results are tracked and reported an example goals and timeframes Staff to document and implement procedures for permit reviews and inspections within one year coverage. Provide procedures to MPCA within permit coverage, document progress on implement.	velopment within essed and ime is available. Innually.
ВМ	duc P ca	t inspections to ensure permit compliance	Continue to enforce erosion control rule for deventhe District. Ongoing. Number of permits procegranted is tracked annually. Inspect construction sites periodically as staff to On-going. Results are tracked and reported an example goals and timeframes Staff to document and implement procedures for permit reviews and inspections within one year coverage. Provide procedures to MPCA within permit coverage, document progress on implement.	velopment within essed and ime is available. Innually.
ВМ	duc P ca	t inspections to ensure permit compliance	Continue to enforce erosion control rule for deventhe District. Ongoing. Number of permits procegranted is tracked annually. Inspect construction sites periodically as staff to On-going. Results are tracked and reported an example goals and timeframes Staff to document and implement procedures for permit reviews and inspections within one year coverage. Provide procedures to MPCA within permit coverage, document progress on implement.	velopment within essed and ime is available. Innually.
BM	P ca	t inspections to ensure permit compliance	Continue to enforce erosion control rule for deventhe District. Ongoing. Number of permits proof granted is tracked annually. Inspect construction sites periodically as staff to On-going. Results are tracked and reported an Measurable goals and timeframes Staff to document and implement procedures for permit reviews and inspections within one year coverage. Provide procedures to MPCA within permit coverage, document progress on implementations.	relopment within essed and ime is available. Innually.

3.

4.

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-strm4-49a • 5/31/13 Page 12 of 16

E. MCM 5: Post-construction stormwater management

1. The Permit (Part III.D.5.) requires that, within 12 months of the date permit coverage is extended, existing permittees shall revise their current program, as necessary, and continue to implement and enforce a post-construction stormwater management program. Describe your current program:

MCWD rules have required long-term stormwater management on new land development sites since 1974. The stormwater rule requires long-term controls on nutrient export, stormwater volume, runoff rates, and water quality impacts to downstream receiving waters. The rule incorporates post-construction maintenance requirements for stormwater BMPs in the form of a declaration containing the maintenance requirements that is recorded on the property before the permit is issued.

In addition to implementing rules, the District inspects projects post-construction to ensure stormwater BMPs are constructed properly and that they are functioning.

2.		ve you established written procedures for site plan reviews that you will conduct prior to the start of astruction activity?		☐ No
3.		swer yes or no to indicate whether you have the following listed procedures for documentation of st-construction stormwater management according to the specifications of Permit (Part III.D.5.c.):		
	a.	Any supporting documentation that you use to determine compliance with the Permit (Part III.D.5.a), including the project name, location, owner and operator of the construction activity, any checklists used for conducting site plan reviews, and any calculations used to determine compliance?	⊠ Yes	□ No
	b.	All supporting documentation associated with mitigation projects that you authorize?		☐ No
	C.	Payments received and used in accordance with Permit (Part III.D.5.a.(4)(f))?		☐ No
	d.	All legal mechanisms drafted in accordance with the Permit (Part III.D.5.a.(5)), including date(s) of		☐ No

If you answered **no** to any of the above permit requirements, describe the steps that will be taken to assure that, within 12 months of the date permit coverage is extended, these permit requirements are met.

the agreement(s) and names of all responsible parties involved?

The District has written procedures, however, the rules were recently updated and the procedures may require updating as well. A rule revision will also be needed to ensure compliance with this permit. These procedures will be updated upon completion of the rule revisions and provided to the MPCA within one year of permit coverage.

4. List the categories of BMPs that address your post-construction stormwater management program. Use the first table for categories of BMPs that you have established and the second table for categories of BMPs that you plan to implement over the course of the permit term.

Include the measurable goals with appropriate timeframes that each BMP category will be implemented and completed. In addition, provide interim milestones and the frequency of action in which the permittee will implement and/or maintain the BMPs. Refer to the EPA's *Measurable Goals Guidance for Phase II Small MS4s* (http://www.epa.gov/npdes/pubs/measurablegoals.pdf). If you have more than five categories, hit the tab key after the last line to generate a new row.

Established BMP categories	Measurable goals and timeframes
Enforce Stormwater Rules	Continue to enforce the District's stormwater management rule. Ongoing. The number of permits processed annually is tracked and reported.
Post-construction inspection	The District conducts inspection of completed projects to ensure compliance with permit requirements. Results are tracked and reported annually.
Publish material on requirements for District permit	Continue to publish information on the requirements of District permits on line. Ongoing. Track web site hits.
Maintenance agreements and inspections	Continue to enforce rules which require maintenance agreements with permitted land owners. The District's rules, along with 103D give the District authority to inspect, maintain and assess for maintenance. Inspections are conducted as needed in accordance with MS4 permit requirements.
Rule revisions	From time to time, as needed, the District will revise rules to protect water quality. The most recent rule revision was completed in 2011; the District is currently in the process of another rule revision.
Compliance enforcement	Continue to enforce the District's rules and bring enforcement action if site inspections reveal non-compliance. This is an ongoing activity conducted as needed.
BMP categories to be implemented	Measurable goals and timeframes

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-strm4-49a • 5/31/13 Page 13 of 16

Rule Revisions for MS4 Permit Compliance	Implement a rule revision to comply with MS4 permit requirements. Begin process immediately, with completion scheduled within one year of permit coverage. Implement rules.

Provide the name or the position title of the individual(s) who is responsible for implementing and/or coordinating this MCM:

Katherine Sylvia, Permitting Program Lead

F. MCM 6: Pollution prevention/good housekeeping for municipal operations

1. The Permit (Part III.D.6.) requires that, within 12 months of the date permit coverage is extended, existing permittees shall revise their current program, as necessary, and continue to implement an operations and maintenance program that prevents or reduces the discharge of pollutants from the permittee owned/operated facilities and operations to the small MS4. Describe your current program:

MCWD regularly inspects and maintains District projects and facilities to ensure they continue to function as intended. The District also expanded its inspection program to include private BMPs. Aside from stormwater ponds, the District does not own or operate any of the facilities listed under Part III.D.6.a.

2. Do you have a facilities inventory as outlined in the Permit (Part III.D.6.a.)?

- ⊠ Yes □ No
- 3. If you answered **no** to the above permit requirement in question 2, describe the tasks and corresponding schedules that will be taken to assure that, within 12 months of the date permit coverage is extended, this permit requirement is met:
- 4. List the categories of BMPs that address your pollution prevention/good housekeeping for municipal operations program. Use the first table for categories of BMPs that you have established and the second table for categories of BMPs that you plan to implement over the course of the permit term.

Include the measurable goals with appropriate timeframes that each BMP category will be implemented and completed. In addition, provide interim milestones and the frequency of action in which the permittee will implement and/or maintain the BMPs. For an explanation of measurable goals, refer to the EPA's *Measurable Goals Guidance for Phase II Small MS4s* (http://www.epa.gov/npdes/pubs/measurablegoals.pdf).

If you have more than five categories, hit the tab key after the last line to generate a new row.

Established BMP categories	Measurable goals and timeframes
NEMO Training for MS 4 staff	Continue to support NEMO training for MS4 staff within our boundaries.
Operations and maintenance plan for District facilities.	Continue to implement operation and maintenance plans for existing District-owned facilities, including inspection of all ponds and outfalls in spring and fall of each year. Ongoing.
O & M Plan revision	Update operation and maintenance plan for District-owned facilities as new projects are constructed. Implement updated plan. Within one year of permit coverage.
Inspection and maintenance records	Continue to retain electronic records on site. Ongoing.
Record retention	Continue to retain electronic records on site. Ongoing.
BMP categories to be implemented	Measurable goals and timeframes

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-strm4-49a • 5/31/13 Page 14 of 16

	5.	Doe	es discharge from your MS4 affect a Source Water Protection Area (Permit Part III.D.6.c.)?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
		a.	If no , continue to 6.		
		b.	If yes , the Minnesota Department of Health (MDH) is in the process of mapping the following items. Maps are available at http://www.health.state.mn.us/divs/eh/water/swp/maps/index.htm . Is a map including the		
			following items available for your MS4:		
			1) Wells and source waters for drinking water supply management areas identified as vulnerable under Minn. R. 4720.5205, 4720.5210, and 4720.5330?	☐ Yes	□No
			 Source water protection areas for surface intakes identified in the source water assessments conducted by or for the Minnesota Department of Health under the federal Safe Drinking Water Act, U.S.C. §§ 300j – 13? 	Yes	□ No
		c.	Have you developed and implemented BMPs to protect any of the above drinking water sources?	☐ Yes	□No
	6.	TF	tive you developed procedures and a schedule for the purpose of determining the TSS and treatment effectiveness of all permittee owned/operated ponds constructed and used for the llection and treatment of stormwater, according to the Permit (Part III.D.6.d.)?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
	7.	(3)	you have inspection procedures that meet the requirements of the Permit (Part III.D.6.e.(1)-) for structural stormwater BMPs, ponds and outfalls, and stockpile, storage and material ndling areas?	⊠ Yes	□No
	8.		ive you developed and implemented a stormwater management training program commensurate aployee's job duties that:	with ead	ch
		a.	Addresses the importance of protecting water quality?		☐ No
		b.	Covers the requirements of the permit relevant to the duties of the employee?	☐ Yes	⊠ No
		C.	Includes a schedule that establishes initial training for new and/or seasonal employees and recurring training intervals for existing employees to address changes in procedures, practices, techniques, or requirements?	Yes	⊠ No
	9.		you keep documentation of inspections, maintenance, and training as required by the Permit rt III.D.6.h.(1)-(5))?	Yes	□No
		cor	ou answered no to any of the above permit requirements listed in Questions 5 – 9 , then describ responding schedules that will be taken to assure that, within 12 months of the date permit cover se permit requirements are met:		
		ned The	e District will document the existing procedures associated with Parts III.D.6.d. and h. (1)-(5), upon essary in accordance with permit requirements and provide them to the MPCA within one year of EDISTRICT WIII also develop and implement a stormwater management training program for its emplored with Part III.D.6.g within one year of permit coverage.	of permit	coverage.
	10.	Pro MC	vide the name or the position title of the individual(s) who is responsible for implementing and/or co M:	ordinatir	ng this
		Tiff	any Schaufler, Project and Land Program Manager		
VI.		•	liance Schedule for an Approved Total Maximum Daily Load (TMDL) table Waste Load Allocation (WLA) (Part II.D.6.)	with a	n
	A.		you have an approved TMDL with a Waste Load Allocation (WLA) prior to the effective date he Permit?	Yes	□No
		1.	If no , continue to section VII.		
		2.	If yes , fill out and attach the MS4 Permit TMDL Attachment Spreadsheet with the following naming convention: MS4NameHere_TMDL.		
			This form is found on the MPCA MS4 website: http://www.pca.state.mn.us/ms4 .		
VII.	Αlι	ım	or Ferric Chloride Phosphorus Treatment Systems (Part II.D.7.)		
	A.	Do	you own and/or operate any Alum or Ferric Chloride Phosphorus Treatment Systems which regulated by this Permit (Part III.F.)?	☐ Yes	⊠ No

TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 800-657-3864 wq-strm4-49a • 5/31/13 Page 15 of 16

- 1. If **no**, this section requires no further information.
- If yes, you own and/or operate an Alum or Ferric Chloride Phosphorus Treatment System
 within your small MS4, then you must submit the Alum or Ferric Chloride Phosphorus
 Treatment Systems Form supplement to this document, with the following naming
 convention: MS4NameHere_TreatmentSystem.

This form is found on the MPCA MS4 website: http://www.pca.state.mn.us/ms4.

VIII. Add any Additional Comments to Describe Your Program

The Minnehaha Creek Watershed District (MCWD) is the local unit of government responsible for managing and protecting the water resources of the Minnehaha Creek Watershed in parts of Minneapolis, Minnesota, and its western suburbs.

The MCWD is responsible for 181 square miles that drain into Minnehaha Creek and ultimately the Mississippi River. Only a small portion of that area is considered part of the MCWD MS4. The watershed includes Minnehaha Creek, Lake Minnetonka, the Minneapolis Chain of Lakes, and Minnehaha Falls. There are eight major creeks, 129 lakes, and thousands of wetlands within the MCWD. The MCWD also includes all or part of 27 cities and two townships in Hennepin and Carver counties.

The MCWD uses scientific research and monitoring, public education, grant programs, permitting, and collaborative initiatives with local governments, agencies, and residents, to protect the region's lakes, rivers, and streams. Protecting and managing these resources is important for recreation, fish and wildlife, the environment, and property values.

Established in 1967, the MCWD was created under the Minnesota Watershed District Act. The 1955 act charged watershed districts with integrating water management efforts among city, county, and state agencies. Districts receive funding through local property taxes.

The MCWD is governed by a seven-member Board of Managers, which is appointed by the boards of Hennepin and Carver counties.

The Minnehaha Creek Watershed District is committed to a leadership role in protecting, improving and managing the surface waters and affiliated groundwater resources within the district, including their relationships to the ecosystems of which they are an integral part. We achieve our mission through regulation, capital projects, education, cooperative endeavors, and other programs based on sound science, innovative thinking, an informed and engaged constituency, and cost effective use of public funds.

The District conducts several programs including a regulatory department to implement the District's rules; communications and educations departments to facilitate public participation and education; an operations program to inspect and maintain the District's capital projects; and a planning and projects department to implement capital projects and programs to meet water quality goals. I

www.pca.state.mn.us • 651-296-6300 • 800-657-3864 • TTY 651-282-5332 or 800-657-3864 • Available in alternative formats wq-strm4-49a • 5/31/13 Page 16 of 16